Generalizations of the Kerr-Newman solution

Contents

1 Topics

- Generalizations of the Kerr-Newman solution
- Properties of Kerr-Newman spacetimes
- Quadrupolar metrics

1.1 ICRANet Participants

- Roy P. Kerr
- Hernando Quevedo
- Jorge A. Rueda
- Remo Ruffini

1.2 Ongoing collaborations

- Medeu Abishev (Kazakh National University - KazNU, Kazakhstan)
- Nurzada Beissen (Taraz State Pedagogical University, Kazakhstan)
- Kuantay Boshkayev (Kazakh National University - KazNU, Kazakhstan)
- Antonio C. Gutierrez (Industrial University of Santander, Colombia)
- Orlando Luongo (University of Naples, Italy)
- Daniela Pugliese (Silesian University in Opava, Czech Republic)
- Saken Toktarbay (Kazakh National University - KazNU, Kazakhstan)

1.3 Students

- Jesús Anaya, (UNAM, PhD, Mexico)
- Fernando Aragón (UNAM PhD, Mexico)
- Aizhan Mansurova (KazNU PhD, Kazakhstan)
- Walter Pulido (Universidad Nacional de Colombia)
- Luis M. Sánchez (UNAM PhD, Mexico)
- Pedro Sánchez (UNAM PhD, Mexico)
- Servando Vargas (UNAM PhD, Mexico)
- Sasha Zaldivar (UNAM PhD, Mexico)
- Carlos Romero (UNAM PhD, Mexico)
- Elly Bayona (UNAM PhD, Mexico)
- Luis Cedeño (UNAM PhD, Mexico)

2 Brief description

One of the most important metrics in general relativity is the Kerr-Newman solution that describes the gravitational and electromagnetic fields of a rotating charged mass. In fact, it is widely believed that this metric can be used only in the case of black holes because its possesses a limited number of multipole moments, namely, the monopoles of mass and charge and the angular momentum dipole. All the higher multipole moments can be expressed in terms of these three independent moments. For instance, the quadrupole moment is proportional to the angular momentum dipole, which, in turn, contains the mass monopole.

Astrophysical compact objects, however, are characterized by shape deformations that can be described only by means of higher independent moments. For instance, even a small deviation from spherical symmetry would generate a quadrupole moment that should be independent of the rotational properties of the body. Also, the moment of inertia of the system is expected to be related to the a rotational quadrupole moment. On the other hand, the rotation of a body is also expected to induce, in general, shape deformations that should be taken into account when considering the general set of multipole moments that are necessary for describing the corresponding gravitational field. Therefore, we expect that a general treatment of the gravitational field of compact objects implies the introduction of two independent sets of multipole moments, one related to the distribution of mass and its shape, and the second one associated to the moment of inertia and other rotational properties of the body. Furthermore, if the constituent particles of the mass distribution are endowed with electric charge, an additional set of electromagnetic multipole moments should be considered.

It follows that to attack the problem of describing the gravitational and electromagnetic fields of an arbitrary distribution of charged masses, it is necessary to derive and investigate new exact solutions of Einstein-Maxwell equations, which posses an infinite set of gravitational and electromagnetic multipole moments and contain the Kerr-Newman solution as special case.

Recently, new exploratory channels have opened up for the physics of highly compact objects, such as gravitational waves and black hole shadows. Moreover, more precise analysis and observations are now possible in the physics of accretion around compact objects. These advancements provide in particular an unprecedented insight into the physics near the horizons of a black hole. In this work we focus on the shadow boundary of a Kerr black hole, introducing observables related to special null orbits, called horizons replicas, solutions of the shadow edge equations which are related to particular photon orbits, defined by constraints on their impact parameter, carrying information about the angular momentum of the central spinning object. These orbits are related to particular regions on the shadow boundary and might be used to determine the spin of the black hole. The results provide the conditions by which horizon replicas are imprinted in the black hole shadow profile, in dependence on the black hole dimensionless spin and observational angle, providing eventually new templates for the future observations.

3 Introduction

It is hard to overemphasize the importance of the Kerr geometry not only for general relativity itself, but also for the very fundamentals of physics. It assumes this position as being the most physically relevant rotating generalization of the static Schwarzschild geometry. Its charged counterpart, the Kerr-Newman solution, representing the exterior gravitational and electromagnetic fields of a charged rotating object, is an exact solution of the Einstein-Maxwell equations.

Its line element in Boyer–Lindquist coordinates can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 + Q^2}{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}(dt - a\sin^2\theta d\varphi)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\sin^2\theta}{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}[(r^2 + a^2)d\varphi - adt]^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}{r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 + Q^2}dr^2 - (r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta)d\theta^2 \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where M is the total mass of the object, $a = J/M$ is the specific angular momentum, and Q is the electric charge. In this particular coordinate system, the metric functions do not depend on the coordinates t and ϕ, indicating the existence of two Killing vector fields $\xi^I = \partial_t$ and $\xi^{II} = \partial_\varphi$ which represent the properties of stationarity and axial symmetry, respectively.

An important characteristic of this solution is that the source of gravity is surrounded by two horizons situated at a distance

$$r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 - Q^2} \tag{3.2}$$

from the origin of coordinates. Inside the interior horizon, r_-, a ring singularity is present which, however, cannot be observed by any observer situated outside the exterior horizon. If the condition $M^2 < a^2 + Q^2$ is satisfied, no horizons are present and the Kerr–Newman spacetime represents the exterior field of a naked singularity.

Despite of its fundamental importance in general relativity, and its theoretical and mathematical interest, this solution has not been especially useful for describing astrophysical phenomena, first of all, because observed astrophysical objects do not possess an appreciable net electric charge. Furthermore, the limiting Kerr metric takes into account the mass and the rotation, but does not consider the moment of inertia of the object. For astrophysical applications it is, therefore, necessary to use more general solutions with higher multipole moments which are due not only to the rotation of the body but also to its shape. This means that even in the limiting case of a static spacetime, a solution is needed that takes into account possible deviations from spherically symmetry.

4 The general static vacuum solution

In general relativity, stationary axisymmetric solutions of Einstein's equations [1] play a crucial role for the description of the gravitational field of astrophysical objects. In particular, the black hole solutions and their generalizations that include Maxwell fields are contained within this class.

This type of exact solutions has been the subject of intensive research during the past few decades. In particular, the number of know exact solutions drastically increased after Ernst [2] discovered an elegant representation of the field equations that made it possible to search for their symmetries. These studies lead finally to the development of solution generating techniques [1] which allow us to find new solutions, starting from a given seed solution. In particular, solutions with an arbitrary number of multipole moments for the mass and angular momentum were derived in [3] and used to describe the gravitational field of rotating axially symmetric distributions of mass.

The first analysis of stationary axially symmetric gravitational fields was carried out by Weyl [4] in 1917, soon after the formulation of general relativity. In particular, Weyl discovered that in the static limit the main part of the vacuum field equations reduces to a single linear differential equation. The corresponding general solution can be written in cylindrical coordinates as an infinite sum with arbitrary constant coefficients. A particular choice of the coefficients leads to the subset of asymptotically flat solutions which is the most interesting from a physical point of view. In this section we review the main properties of stationary axisymmetric gravitational fields. In particular, we show explicitly that the main field equations in vacuum can be represented as the equations of a nonlinear sigma model in which the base space is the 4-dimensional spacetime and the target space is a 2-dimensional conformally Euclidean space.

4.1 Line element and field equations

Although there exist in the literature many suitable coordinate systems, stationary axisymmetric gravitational fields are usually described in cylindric coordinates (t, ρ, z, φ).

Stationarity implies that t can be chosen as the time coordinate and the metric does not depend on time, i.e. $\partial g_{\mu\nu}/\partial t = 0$. Consequently, the corresponding timelike Killing vector has the components δ^μ_t. A second Killing vector field is associated to the axial symmetry with respect to the axis $\rho = 0$. Then, choosing φ as the azimuthal angle, the metric satisfies the conditions $\partial g_{\mu\nu}/\partial\varphi = 0$, and the components of the corresponding spacelike Killing vector are δ^μ_φ.

Using further the properties of stationarity and axial symmetry, together with the vacuum field equations, for a general metric of the form $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}(\rho, z)$, it is possible to show that the most general line element for this type of gravitational fields can be written in the Weyl-Lewis-Papapetrou form as [4, 5, 6]

$$ds^2 = f(dt - \omega d\varphi)^2 - f^{-1}\left[e^{2\gamma}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2\right] , \tag{4.1}$$

where f, ω and γ are functions of ρ and z, only. After some rearrangements which include the introduction of a new function $\Omega = \Omega(\rho, z)$ by means of

$$\rho\partial_\rho\Omega = f^2\partial_z\omega , \qquad \rho\partial_z\Omega = -f^2\partial_\rho\omega , \tag{4.2}$$

the vacuum field equations $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ can be shown to be equivalent to the following set of partial differential equations

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\partial_\rho(\rho\partial_\rho f) + \partial_z^2 f + \frac{1}{f}[(\partial_\rho\Omega)^2 + (\partial_z\Omega)^2 - (\partial_\rho f)^2 - (\partial_z f)^2] = 0 , \tag{4.3}$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\partial_\rho(\rho\partial_\rho\Omega) + \partial_z^2\Omega - \frac{2}{f}\left(\partial_\rho f\,\partial_\rho\Omega + \partial_z f\,\partial_z\Omega\right) = 0 , \tag{4.4}$$

$$\partial_\rho\gamma = \frac{\rho}{4f^2}\left[(\partial_\rho f)^2 + (\partial_\rho\Omega)^2 - (\partial_z f)^2 - (\partial_z\Omega)^2\right] , \tag{4.5}$$

$$\partial_z\gamma = \frac{\rho}{2f^2}\left(\partial_\rho f\,\partial_z f + \partial_\rho\Omega\,\partial_z\Omega\right) . \tag{4.6}$$

It is clear that the field equations for γ can be integrated by quadratures, once f and Ω are known. For this reason, the equations (4.3) and (4.4) for f and Ω are usually considered as the main field equations for stationary axisymmetric vacuum gravitational fields. In the following subsections we will focus on the analysis of the main field equations, only. It is interesting to mention that this set of equations can be geometrically interpreted in the context of nonlinear sigma models [17].

Let us consider the special case of static axisymmetric fields. This corresponds to metrics which, apart from being axially symmetric and independent of the time coordinate, are invariant with respect to the transformation $\varphi \to -\varphi$ (i.e. rotations with respect to the axis of symmetry are not allowed). Consequently, the corresponding line element is given by (4.1) with $\omega = 0$, and the field equations can be written as

$$\partial_\rho^2 \psi + \frac{1}{\rho}\partial_\rho \psi + \partial_z^2 \psi = 0\,, \quad f = \exp(2\psi)\,, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\partial_\rho \gamma = \rho\left[(\partial_\rho \psi)^2 - (\partial_z \psi)^2\right]\,, \quad \partial_z \gamma = 2\rho \partial_\rho \psi\, \partial_z \psi\,. \tag{4.8}$$

We see that the main field equation (4.7) corresponds to the linear Laplace equation for the metric function ψ.

4.2 Static solution

The general solution of Laplace's equation is known and, if we demand additionally asymptotic flatness, we obtain the Weyl solution which can be written as [4, 1]

$$\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} P_n(\cos\theta)\,, \qquad \cos\theta = \frac{z}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}}\,, \tag{4.9}$$

where a_n $(n = 0, 1, ...)$ are arbitrary constants, and $P_n(\cos\theta)$ represents the Legendre polynomials of degree n. The expression for the metric function γ can be calculated by quadratures by using the set of first order differential equations (4.8). Then

$$\gamma = -\sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n a_m (n+1)(m+1)}{(n+m+2)(\rho^2 + z^2)^{\frac{n+m+2}{2}}} \left(P_n P_m - P_{n+1} P_{m+1}\right)\,. \tag{4.10}$$

Since this is the most general static, axisymmetric, asymptotically flat vacuum solution, it must contain all known solution of this class. In particular, one of the most interesting special solutions which is Schwarzschild's spherically symmetric black hole spacetime must be contained in this class. To see this, we must choose the constants a_n in such a way that the infinite sum (4.9) converges to the Schwarzschild solution in cylindric coordinates. But, or course, this representation is not the most appropriate to analyze the interesting physical properties of Schwarzschild's metric.

In fact, it turns out that to investigate the properties of solutions with multipole moments it is more convenient to use prolate spheroidal coordinates (t, x, y, φ) in which the line element can be written as

$$ds^2 = fdt^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{f}\left[e^{2\gamma}(x^2-y^2)\left(\frac{dx^2}{x^2-1}+\frac{dy^2}{1-y^2}\right)+(x^2-1)(1-y^2)d\varphi^2\right]$$

where

$$x = \frac{r_+ + r_-}{2\sigma}\,, \quad (x^2 \geq 1), \quad y = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{2\sigma}\,, \quad (y^2 \leq 1) \tag{4.11}$$

$$r_\pm^2 = \rho^2 + (z \pm \sigma)^2\,, \quad \sigma = const\,, \tag{4.12}$$

and the metric functions are f, ω, and γ depend on x and y, only. In this coordinate system, the general static solution which is also asymptotically flat can be expressed as

$$f = \exp(2\psi)\,, \quad \psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n+1}q_n P_n(y)Q_n(x)\,, \quad q_n = const$$

where $P_n(y)$ are the Legendre polynomials, and $Q_n(x)$ are the Legendre functions of second kind. In particular,

$$P_0 = 1, \quad P_1 = y, \quad P_2 = \frac{1}{2}(3y^2-1)\,, ...$$

$$Q_0 = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{x+1}{x-1}\,, \quad Q_1 = \frac{1}{2}x\ln\frac{x+1}{x-1} - 1\,,$$

$$Q_2 = \frac{1}{2}(3x^2-1)\ln\frac{x+1}{x-1} - \frac{3}{2}x\,, ...$$

The corresponding function γ can be calculated by quadratures and its general expression has been explicitly derived in [3]. The most important special cases contained in this general solution are the Schwarzschild metric

$$\psi = -q_0 P_0(y)Q_0(x)\,, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{x^2-1}{x^2-y^2}\,,$$

and the Erez-Rosen metric [9]

$$\psi = -q_0 P_0(y)Q_0(x) - q_2 P_2(y)Q_2(x)\,, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{x^2-1}{x^2-y^2} + ...$$

In the last case, the constant parameter q_2 turns out to determine the quadrupole moment. In general, the constants q_n represent an infinite set of parameters that determines an infinite set of mass multipole moments. The parameters q_n represent the deviation of the mass distribution from the ideal spherical symmetry. It is interesting to mention that if demand the additional symmetry with respect to the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$, it can be shown that all odd parameters q_{2k+1}, $k = 0, 1, ...$ should vanish. This is an additional symmetry condition that reduces the form of the resulting metric.

5 Stationary generalization

The solution generating techniques [12] can be applied, in particular, to any static seed solution in order to obtain the corresponding stationary generalization. One of the most powerful techniques is the inverse method (ISM) developed by Belinski and Zakharov [13]. We used a particular case of the ISM, which is known as the Hoenselaers–Kinnersley-Xanthopoulos (HKX) transformation to derive the stationary generalization of the general static solution in prolate spheroidal coordinates.

5.1 Ernst representation

In the general stationary case ($\omega \neq 0$) with line element

$$\begin{aligned} & ds^2 = f(dt - \omega d\varphi)^2 \\ - & \frac{\sigma^2}{f}\left[e^{2\gamma}(x^2-y^2)\left(\frac{dx^2}{x^2-1}+\frac{dy^2}{1-y^2}\right)+(x^2-1)(1-y^2)d\varphi^2\right] \end{aligned}$$

it is useful to introduce the the Ernst potentials

$$E = f + i\Omega\,, \quad \xi = \frac{1-E}{1+E}\,,$$

where the function Ω is now determined by the equations

$$\sigma(x^2-1)\Omega_x = f^2\omega_y\,, \quad \sigma(1-y^2)\Omega_y = -f^2\omega_x\,.$$

Then, the main field equations can be represented in a compact and symmetric form:

$$(\xi\xi^* - 1)\left\{[(x^2-1)\xi_x]_x + [(1-y^2)\xi_y]_y\right\} = 2\xi^*[(x^2-1)\xi_x^2 + (1-y^2)\xi_y^2]\,.$$

This equation is invariant with respect to the transformation $x \leftrightarrow y$. Then, since the particular solution

$$\xi = \frac{1}{x} \to \Omega = 0 \to \omega = 0 \to \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{x^2 - 1}{x^2 - y^2}$$

represents the Schwarzschild spacetime, the choice $\xi^{-1} = y$ is also an exact solution. Furthermore, if we take the linear combination $\xi^{-1} = c_1 x + c_2 y$ and introduce it into the field equation, we obtain the new solution

$$\xi^{-1} = \frac{\sigma}{M} x + i \frac{a}{M} y \,, \; \sigma = \sqrt{M^2 - a^2} \,,$$

which corresponds to the Kerr metric in prolate spheroidal coordinates.

In the case of the Einstein-Maxwell theory, the main field equations can be expressed as

$$(\xi\xi^* - \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^* - 1)\nabla^2\xi = 2(\xi^*\nabla\xi - \mathcal{F}^*\nabla\mathcal{F})\nabla\xi \,,$$
$$(\xi\xi^* - \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^* - 1)\nabla^2\mathcal{F} = 2(\xi^*\nabla\xi - \mathcal{F}^*\nabla\mathcal{F})\nabla\mathcal{F}$$

where ∇ represents the gradient operator in prolate spheroidal coordinates. Moreover, the gravitational potential ξ and the electromagnetic $\mathcal{F}$ Ernst potential are defined as

$$\xi = \frac{1 - f - i\Omega}{1 + f + i\Omega} \,, \quad \mathcal{F} = 2\frac{\Phi}{1 + f + i\Omega} \,.$$

The potential Φ can be shown to be determined uniquely by the electromagnetic potentials A_t and A_φ One can show that if ξ_0 is a vacuum solution, then the new potential

$$\xi = \xi_0 \sqrt{1 - e^2}$$

represents a solution of the Einstein-Maxwell equations with effective electric charge e. This transformation is known in the literature as the Harrison transformation [10]. Accordingly, the Kerr–Newman solution in this representation acquires the simple form

$$\xi = \frac{\sqrt{1 - e^2}}{\frac{\sigma}{M} x + i \frac{a}{M} y} \,, \qquad e = \frac{Q}{M} \,, \qquad \sigma = \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 - Q^2} \,.$$

In this way, it is very easy to generalize any vacuum solution to include the case of electric charge. More general transformations of this type can be used in order to generate solutions with any desired set of gravitational and electromagnetic multipole moments [3].

5.2 Representation as a nonlinear sigma model

Consider two (pseudo)-Riemannian manifolds (M, γ) and (N, G) of dimension m and n, respectively. Let M be coordinatized by x^a, and N by X^μ, so that the metrics on M and N can be, in general, smooth functions of the corresponding coordinates, i.e., $\gamma = \gamma(x)$ and $G = G(X)$. A harmonic map is a smooth map $X : M \to N$, or in coordinates $X : x \longmapsto X$ so that X becomes a function of x, and the X's satisfy the motion equations following from the action [14]

$$S = \int d^m x \sqrt{|\gamma|}\, \gamma^{ab}(x)\, \partial_a X^\mu\, \partial_b X^\nu\, G_{\mu\nu}(X)\,, \tag{5.1}$$

which sometimes is called the "energy" of the harmonic map X. The straightforward variation of S with respect to X^μ leads to the motion equations

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\gamma|}}\partial_b \left(\sqrt{|\gamma|}\gamma^{ab}\partial_a X^\mu\right) + \Gamma^\mu_{\ \nu\lambda}\, \gamma^{ab}\, \partial_a X^\nu\, \partial_b X^\lambda = 0\,, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\Gamma^\mu_{\ \nu\lambda}$ are the Christoffel symbols associated to the metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ of the target space N. If $G_{\mu\nu}$ is a flat metric, one can choose Cartesian-like coordinates such that $G_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} = diag(\pm 1, ..., \pm 1)$, the motion equations become linear, and the corresponding sigma model is linear. This is exactly the case of a bosonic string on a flat background in which the base space is the 2-dimensional string world-sheet. In this case the action (5.1) is usually referred to as the Polyakov action [16].

Consider now the case in which the base space M is a stationary axisymmetric spacetime. Then, γ^{ab}, $a, b = 0, ..., 3$, can be chosen as the Weyl-Lewis-Papapetrou metric (4.1), i.e.

$$\gamma_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 & 0 & -f\omega \\ 0 & -f^{-1}e^{2k} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -f^{-1}e^{2k} & 0 \\ -f\omega & 0 & 0 & f\omega^2 - \rho^2 f^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.3}$$

Let the target space N be 2-dimensional with metric $G_{\mu\nu} = (1/2) f^{-2}\delta_{\mu\nu}$, $\mu, \nu = 1, 2$, and let the coordinates on N be $X^\mu = (f, \Omega)$. Then, it is straightforward to show that the action (5.1) becomes

$$S = \int \mathcal{L}\, dt d\varphi d\rho dz\,, \qquad \mathcal{L} = \frac{\rho}{2f^2}\left[(\partial_\rho f)^2 + (\partial_z f)^2 + (\partial_\rho \Omega)^2 + (\partial_z \Omega)^2\right]\,, \tag{5.4}$$

and the corresponding motion equations (5.2) are identical to the main field equations (4.3) and (4.4).

Notice that the field equations can also be obtained from (5.4) by a direct variation with respect to f and Ω. This interesting result was obtained originally by Ernst [2], and is the starting point of what today is known as the Ernst representation of the field equations.

The above result shows that stationary axisymmetric gravitational fields can be described as a $(4 \to 2)-$nonlinear harmonic map, where the base space is the spacetime of the gravitational field and the target space corresponds to a 2-dimensional conformally Euclidean space. A further analysis of the target space shows that it can be interpreted as the quotient space $SL(2,R)/SO(2)$, and the Lagrangian (5.4) can be written explicitly [17] in terms of the generators of the Lie group $SL(2,R)$. Harmonic maps in which the target space is a quotient space are usually known as nonlinear sigma models [14].

The form of the Lagrangian (5.4) with two gravitational field variables, f and Ω, depending on two coordinates, ρ and z, suggests a representation as a harmonic map with a 2-dimensional base space. In string theory, this is an important fact that allows one to use the conformal invariance of the base space metric to find an adequate representation for the set of classical solutions. This, in turn, facilitates the application of the canonical quantization procedure. Unfortunately, this is not possible for the Lagrangian (5.4). Indeed, if we consider γ^{ab} as a 2-dimensional metric that depends on the parameters ρ and z, the diagonal form of the Lagrangian (5.4) implies that $\sqrt{|\gamma|}\gamma^{ab} = \delta^{ab}$. Clearly, this choice is not compatible with the factor ρ in front of the Lagrangian. Therefore, the reduced gravitational Lagrangian (5.4) cannot be interpreted as corresponding to a $(2 \to n)$-harmonic map. Nevertheless, we will show in the next section that a modification of the definition of harmonic maps allows us to "absorb" the unpleasant factor ρ in the metric of the target space, and to use all the advantages of a 2-dimensional base space.

Notice that the representation of stationary fields as a nonlinear sigma model becomes degenerate in the limiting case of static fields. Indeed, the underlying geometric structure of the $SL(2,R)/SO(2)$ nonlinear sigma models requires that the target space be 2-dimensional, a condition which is not satisfied by static fields. We will see below that by using a dimensional extension of generalized sigma models, it will be possible to treat the special static case, without affecting the underlying geometric structure.

The analysis performed in this section for stationary axisymmetric fields can be generalized to include any gravitational field containing two commuting Killing vector fields [1]. This is due to the fact that for this class of gravitational fields it is always possible to find the corresponding Ernst representation in which the Lagrangian contains only two gravitational variables which depend on only two spacetime coordinates.

5.3 Representation as a generalized harmonic map

Consider two (pseudo-)Riemannian manifolds (M, γ) and (N, G) of dimension m and n, respectively. Let x^a and X^μ be coordinates on M and N, respectively. This coordinatization implies that in general the metrics γ and G become functions of the corresponding coordinates. Let us assume that not only γ but also G can explicitly depend on the coordinates x^a, i.e. let $\gamma = \gamma(x)$ and $G = G(X, x)$. This simple assumption is the main aspect of our generalization which, as we will see, lead to new and nontrivial results.

A smooth map $X : M \to N$ will be called an $(m \to n)-$generalized harmonic map if it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\gamma|}}\partial_b \left(\sqrt{|\gamma|}\gamma^{ab}\partial_a X^\mu \right) + \Gamma^\mu_{\ \nu\lambda}\, \gamma^{ab}\, \partial_a X^\nu \partial_b X^\lambda + G^{\mu\lambda}\gamma^{ab}\, \partial_a X^\nu\, \partial_b G_{\lambda\nu} = 0\,, \tag{5.5}$$

which follow from the variation of the generalized action

$$S = \int d^m x \sqrt{|\gamma|}\, \gamma^{ab}(x)\, \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(X, x)\,, \tag{5.6}$$

with respect to the fields X^μ. Here the Christoffel symbols, determined by the metric $G_{\mu\nu}$, are calculated in the standard manner, without considering the explicit dependence on x. Notice that the new ingredient in this generalized definition of harmonic maps, i.e., the term $G_{\mu\nu}(X, x)$ in the Lagrangian density implies that we are taking into account the "interaction" between the base space M and the target space N. This interaction leads to an extra term in the motion equations, as can be seen in (5.5). It turns out that this interaction is the result of the effective presence of the gravitational field.

Notice that the limiting case of generalized linear harmonic maps is much more complicated than in the standard case. Indeed, for the motion equations (5.5) to become linear it is necessary that the conditions

$$\gamma^{ab}(\Gamma^\mu_{\ \nu\lambda}\, \partial_b X^\lambda + G^{\mu\lambda}\, \partial_b G_{\lambda\nu})\partial_a X^\nu = 0\,, \tag{5.7}$$

be satisfied. One could search for a solution in which each term vanishes separately. The choice of a (pseudo-)Euclidean target metric $G_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, which would imply $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \nu\lambda} = 0$, is not allowed, because it would contradict the assumption $\partial_b G_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$. Nevertheless, a flat background metric in curvilinear coordinates could be chosen such that the assumption $G^{\mu\lambda}\partial_b G_{\mu\nu} = 0$ is fulfilled, but in this case $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \nu\lambda} \neq 0$ and (5.7) cannot be satisfied. In the general case of a curved target metric, conditions (5.7) represent a system of m first order nonlinear partial differential equations for $G_{\mu\nu}$. Solutions to this system would represent linear generalized harmonic maps. The complexity of this system suggests that this special type of maps is not common.

As we mentioned before, the generalized action (5.6) includes an interaction between the base space N and the target space M, reflected on the fact that $G_{\mu\nu}$ depends explicitly on the coordinates of the base space. Clearly, this interaction must affect the conservation laws of the physical systems we attempt to describe by means of generalized harmonic maps. To see this explicitly we calculate the covariant derivative of the generalized Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{|\gamma|}\,\gamma^{ab}(x)\,\partial_a X^{\mu}\partial_b X^{\nu} G_{\mu\nu}(X,x)\,, \tag{5.8}$$

and replace in the result the corresponding motion equations (5.5). Then, the final result can be written as

$$\nabla_b \widetilde{T}_a^{\ b} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^a} \tag{5.9}$$

where $\widetilde{T}_a^{\ b}$ represents the canonical energy-momentum tensor

$$\widetilde{T}_a^{\ b} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_b X^{\mu})}(\partial_a X^{\mu}) - \delta_a^b \mathcal{L} = 2\sqrt{\gamma} G_{\mu\nu}\left(\gamma^{bc}\partial_a X^{\mu}\,\partial_c X^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_a^b \gamma^{cd}\partial_c X^{\mu}\,\partial_d X^{\nu}\right). \tag{5.10}$$

The standard conservation law is recovered only when the Lagrangian does not depend explicitly on the coordinates of the base space. Even if we choose a flat base space $\gamma_{ab} = \eta_{ab}$, the explicit dependence of the metric of the target space $G_{\mu\nu}(X,x)$ on x generates a term that violates the standard conservation law. This term is due to the interaction between the base space and the target space which, consequently, is one of the main characteristics of the generalized harmonic maps introduced in this work.

An alternative and more general definition of the energy-momentum tensor is by means of the variation of the Lagrangian density with respect to the metric of the base space, i.e.

$$T_{ab} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \gamma^{ab}} \,. \tag{5.11}$$

A straightforward computation shows that for the action under consideration here we have that $\widetilde{T}_{ab} = 2T_{ab}$ so that the generalized conservation law (5.9) can be written as

$$\nabla_b T_a{}^b + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^a} = 0 \,. \tag{5.12}$$

For a given metric on the base space, this represents in general a system of m differential equations for the "fields" X^μ which must be satisfied "on-shell".

If the base space is 2-dimensional, we can use a reparametrization of x to choose a conformally flat metric, and the invariance of the Lagrangian density under arbitrary Weyl transformations to show that the energy-momentum tensor is traceless, $T_a{}^a = 0$.

In Section 5.1 we described stationary, axially symmetric, gravitational fields as a $(4 \to 2)-$nonlinear sigma model. There it was pointed out the convenience of having a 2-dimensional base space in analogy with string theory. Now we will show that this can be done by using the generalized harmonic maps defined above.

Consider a $(2 \to 2)-$generalized harmonic map. Let $x^a = (\rho, z)$ be the coordinates on the base space M, and $X^\mu = (f, \Omega)$ the coordinates on the target space N. In the base space we choose a flat metric and in the target space a conformally flat metric, i.e.

$$\gamma_{ab} = \delta_{ab} \qquad \text{and} \qquad G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\rho}{2f^2}\delta_{\mu\nu} \qquad (a, b = 1, 2;\ \mu, \nu = 1, 2). \tag{5.13}$$

A straightforward computation shows that the generalized Lagrangian (5.8) coincides with the Lagrangian (5.4) for stationary axisymetric fields, and that the equations of motion (5.5) generate the main field equations (4.3) and (4.4).

For the sake of completeness we calculate the components of the energy-momentum tensor $T_{ab} = \delta\mathcal{L}/\delta\gamma^{ab}$. Then

$$T_{\rho\rho} = -T_{zz} = \frac{\rho}{4f^2}\left[(\partial_\rho f)^2 + (\partial_\rho \Omega)^2 - (\partial_z f)^2 - (\partial_z \Omega)^2\right], \tag{5.14}$$

$$T_{\rho z} = \frac{\rho}{2f^2}\left(\partial_\rho f\, \partial_z f + \partial_\rho \Omega\ \partial_z \Omega\right). \tag{5.15}$$

This tensor is traceless due to the fact that the base space is 2-dimensional. It satisfies the generalized conservation law (5.12) on-shell:

$$\frac{dT_{\rho\rho}}{d\rho} + \frac{dT_{\rho z}}{dz} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \rho} = 0 \,, \tag{5.16}$$

$$\frac{dT_{\rho z}}{d\rho} - \frac{dT_{\rho\rho}}{dz} = 0 \,. \tag{5.17}$$

Incidentally, the last equation coincides with the integrability condition for the metric function k, which is identically satisfied by virtue of the main field equations. In fact, as can be seen from Eqs.(4.5,4.6) and (5.14,5.15), the components of the energy-momentum tensor satisfy the relationships $T_{\rho\rho} = \partial_\rho k$ and $T_{\rho z} = \partial_z k$, so that the conservation law (5.17) becomes an identity. Although we have eliminated from the starting Lagrangian (5.4) the variable k by applying a Legendre transformation on the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian (see [17]) for this type of gravitational fields, the formalism of generalized harmonic maps seems to retain the information about k at the level of the generalized conservation law.

The above results show that stationary axisymmetric spacetimes can be represented as a $(2 \to 2)-$generalized harmonic map with metrics given as in (5.13). It is also possible to interpret the generalized harmonic map given above as a generalized string model. Although the metric of the base space M is Euclidean, we can apply a Wick rotation $\tau = i\rho$ to obtain a Minkowski-like structure on M. Then, M represents the world-sheet of a bosonic string in which τ is measures the time and z is the parameter along the string. The string is "embedded" in the target space N whose metric is conformally flat and explicitly depends on the time parameter τ. We will see in the next section that this embedding becomes more plausible when the target space is subject to a dimensional extension. In the present example, it is necessary to apply a Wick rotation in order to interpret the base space as a string world-sheet. This is due to the fact that both coordinates ρ and z are spatial coordinates. However, this can be avoided by considering other classes of gravitational fields with timelike Killing vector fields; examples will be given below.

The most studied solutions belonging to the class of stationary axisymmetric fields are the asymptotically flat solutions. Asymptotic flatness imposes conditions on the metric functions which in the cylindrical coordinates used here can be formulated in the form

$$\lim_{x^a \to \infty} f = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{x^a}\right) \,, \quad \lim_{x^a \to \infty} \omega = c_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{x^a}\right) \,, \quad \lim_{x^a \to \infty} \Omega = O\left(\frac{1}{x^a}\right) \tag{5.18}$$

where c_1 is an arbitrary real constant which can be set to zero by appropriately choosing the angular coordinate φ. If we choose the domain of the spatial coordinates as $\rho \in [0, \infty)$ and $z \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, from the asymptotic flatness conditions it follows that the coordinates of the target space N satisfy the boundary conditions

$$\dot{X}^\mu(\rho, -\infty) = 0 = \dot{X}^\mu(\rho, \infty) \, , \quad X'^\mu(\rho, -\infty) = 0 = X'^\mu(\rho, \infty) \tag{5.19}$$

where the dot stands for a derivative with respect to ρ and the prime represents derivation with respect to z. These relationships are known in string theory [16] as the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions for open strings, respectively, with the extreme points situated at infinity. We thus conclude that if we assume ρ as a "time" parameter for stationary axisymmetric gravitational fields, an asymptotically flat solution corresponds to an open string with endpoints attached to $D-$branes situated at plus and minus infinity in the $z-$direction.

5.4 Dimensional extension

In order to further analyze the analogy between gravitational fields and bosonic string models, we perform an arbitrary dimensional extension of the target space N, and study the conditions under which this dimensional extension does not affect the field equations of the gravitational field. Consider an $(m \to D)-$generalized harmonic map. As before we denote by $\{x^a\}$ the coordinates on M. Let $\{X^\mu, X^\alpha\}$ with $\mu = 1, 2$ and $\alpha = 3, 4, ..., D$ be the coordinates on N. The metric structure on M is again $\gamma = \gamma(x)$, whereas the metric on N can in general depend on all coordinates of M and N, i.e. $G = G(X^\mu, X^\alpha, x^a)$. The general structure of the corresponding field equations is as given in (5.5). They can be divided into one set of equations for X^μ and one set of equations for X^α. According to the results of the last section, the class of gravitational fields under consideration can be represented as a $(2 \to 2)-$generalized harmonic map so that we can assume that the main gravitational variables are contained in the coordinates X^μ of the target space. Then, the gravitational sector of the target space will be contained in the components $G_{\mu\nu}$ $(\mu, \nu = 1, 2)$ of the metric, whereas the components $G_{\alpha\beta}$ $(\alpha, \beta = 3, 4, ..., D)$ represent the sector of the dimensional extension.

Clearly, the set of differential equations for X^μ also contains the variables X^α and its derivatives $\partial_a X^\alpha$. For the gravitational field equations to remain unaffected by this dimensional extension we demand the vanishing of all the terms containing X^α and its derivatives in the equations for X^μ. It is easy to show that this can be achieved by imposing the conditions

$$G_{\mu\alpha} = 0\,, \quad \frac{\partial G_{\mu\nu}}{\partial X^\alpha} = 0\,, \quad \frac{\partial G_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial X^\mu} = 0\,. \tag{5.20}$$

That is to say that the gravitational sector must remain completely invariant under a dimensional extension, and the additional sector cannot depend on the gravitational variables, i.e., $G_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\beta}(X^\gamma, x^a)$, $\gamma = 3, 4, ..., D$. Furthermore, the variables X^α must satisfy the differential equations

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\gamma|}}\partial_b\left(\sqrt{|\gamma|}\gamma^{ab}\partial_a X^\alpha\right) + \Gamma^\alpha_{\ \beta\gamma}\,\gamma^{ab}\,\partial_a X^\beta \partial_b X^\gamma + G^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^{ab}\,\partial_a X^\gamma\,\partial_b G_{\beta\gamma} = 0\,. \tag{5.21}$$

This shows that any given $(2 \to 2)-$generalized map can be extended, without affecting the field equations, to a $(2 \to D)-$generalized harmonic map.

It is worth mentioning that the fact that the target space N becomes split in two separate parts implies that the energy-momentum tensor $T_{ab} = \delta\mathcal{L}/\delta\gamma^{ab}$ separates into one part belonging to the gravitational sector and a second one following from the dimensional extension, i.e. $T_{ab} = T_{ab}(X^\mu, x) + T_{ab}(X^\alpha, x)$. The generalized conservation law as given in (5.12) is satisfied by the sum of both parts.

Consider the example of stationary axisymmetric fields given the metrics (5.13). Taking into account the conditions (5.20), after a dimensional extension the metric of the target space becomes

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho}{2f^2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\rho}{2f^2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & G_{33}(X^\alpha, x) & \cdots & G_{3D}(X^\alpha, x) \\ . & . & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & G_{D3}(X^\alpha, x) & \cdots & G_{DD}(X^\alpha, x) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.22}$$

Clearly, to avoid that this metric becomes degenerate we must demand that $\det(G_{\alpha\beta}) \neq 0$, a condition that can be satisfied in view of the arbitrariness of the components of the metric. With the extended metric, the Lagrangian density gets an additional term

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = \quad & \frac{\rho}{2f^2}\left[(\partial_\rho f)^2 + (\partial_z f)^2 + (\partial_\rho \Omega)^2 + (\partial_z \Omega)^2\right] \\ & + \left(\partial_\rho X^\alpha \partial_\rho X^\beta + \partial_z X^\alpha \partial_z X^\beta\right) G_{\alpha\beta}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

which nevertheless does not affect the field equations for the gravitational variables f and Ω. On the other hand, the new fields must be solutions of the extra field equations

$$\left(\partial_\rho^2 + \partial_z^2\right) X^\alpha + \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} \left(\partial_\rho X^\beta \partial_\rho X^\gamma + \partial_z X^\beta \partial_z X^\gamma\right) \tag{5.24}$$

$$+ G^{\alpha\gamma} \left(\partial_\rho X^\beta \partial_\rho G_{\beta\gamma} + \partial_z X^\beta \partial_z G_{\beta\gamma}\right) = 0\,. \tag{5.25}$$

An interesting special case of the dimensional extension is the one in which the extended sector is Minkowskian, i.e. for the choice $G_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}$ with additional fields X^α given as arbitrary harmonic functions. This choice opens the possibility of introducing a "time" coordinate as one of the additional dimensions, an issue that could be helpful when dealing with the interpretation of gravitational fields in this new representation.

The dimensional extension finds an interesting application in the case of static axisymmetric gravitational fields. As mentioned in Section 4.1, these fields are obtained from the general stationary fields in the limiting case $\Omega = 0$ (or equivalently, $\omega = 0$). If we consider the representation as an $SL(2,R)/SO(2)$ nonlinear sigma model or as a $(2 \to 2)-$generalized harmonic map, we see immediately that the limit $\Omega = 0$ is not allowed because the target space becomes 1-dimensional and the underlying metric is undefined. To avoid this degeneracy, we first apply a dimensional extension and only then calculate the limiting case $\Omega = 0$. In the most simple case of an extension with $G_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, the resulting $(2 \to 2)-$generalized map is described by the metrics $\gamma_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$ and

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho}{2f^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.26}$$

where the additional dimension is coordinatized by an arbitrary harmonic function which does not affect the field equations of the only remaining gravitational variable f. This scheme represents an alternative method for exploring static fields on nondegenerate target spaces. Clearly, this scheme can be applied to the case of gravitational fields possessing two hypersurface orthogonal Killing vector fields.

Our results show that a stationary axisymmetric field can be represented as a string "living" in a D-dimensional target space N. The string world-sheet is parametrized by the coordinates ρ and z. The gravitational sector of the target space depends explicitly on the metric functions f and Ω and on the parameter ρ of the string world-sheet. The sector corresponding to the dimensional extension can be chosen as a $(D-2)-$dimensional Minkowski spacetime with time parameter τ. Then, the string world-sheet is a 2-dimensional flat hypersurface which is "frozen" along the time τ.

5.5 The general solution

If we take as seed metric the general static solution, the application of two HXK transformations generates a stationary solution with an infinite number of gravitoelectric and gravitomagnetic multipole moments. The HKX method is applied at the level of the Ernst potential from which the metric functions can be calculated by using the definition of the Ernst potential E and the field equations for γ. The resulting expressions in the general case are quite cumbersome. We quote here only the special case in which only an arbitrary quadrupole parameter is present. In this case, the result can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f &= \frac{R}{L} e^{-2qP_2Q_2} \, , \\
\omega &= -2a - 2\sigma \frac{\mathcal{M}}{R} e^{2qP_2Q_2} \, , \\
e^{2\gamma} &= \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{M}{\sigma}\right)^2 \frac{R}{x^2 - y^2} e^{2\hat{\gamma}} \, ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.27}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R &= a_+ a_- + b_+ b_- \, , \qquad L = a_+^2 + b_+^2 \, , \\
\mathcal{M} &= \alpha x (1 - y^2)(e^{2q\delta_+} + e^{2q\delta_-}) a_+ + y(x^2 - 1)(1 - \alpha^2 e^{2q(\delta_+ + \delta_-)}) b_+ \, , \\
\hat{\gamma} &= \frac{1}{2}(1+q)^2 \ln \frac{x^2 - 1}{x^2 - y^2} + 2q(1 - P_2)Q_1 + q^2(1 - P_2)\Big[(1 + P_2)(Q_1^2 - Q_2^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - 1)(2Q_2^2 - 3xQ_1Q_2 + 3Q_0Q_2 - Q_2')\Big] \, .
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.28}
$$

Here $P_l(y)$ and $Q_l(x)$ are Legendre polynomials of the first and second kind respectively. Furthermore

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_\pm &= x(1 - \alpha^2 e^{2q(\delta_+ + \delta_-)}) \pm (1 + \alpha^2 e^{2q(\delta_+ + \delta_-)}) \, , \\
b_\pm &= \alpha y (e^{2q\delta_+} + e^{2q\delta_-}) \mp \alpha (e^{2q\delta_+} - e^{2q\delta_-}) \, , \\
\delta_\pm &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(x \pm y)^2}{x^2 - 1} + \frac{3}{2}(1 - y^2 \mp xy) + \frac{3}{4}[x(1 - y^2) \mp y(x^2 - 1)] \ln \frac{x - 1}{x + 1} \, ,
\end{aligned}
$$

the quantity α being a constant

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma - M}{a} , \qquad \sigma = \sqrt{M^2 - a^2} . \tag{5.29}$$

The physical significance of the parameters entering this metric can be clarified by calculating the Geroch-Hansen [18, 19] multipole moments

$$M_{2k+1} = J_{2k} = 0 , \quad k = 0, 1, 2, ... \tag{5.30}$$

$$M_0 = M , \quad M_2 = -Ma^2 + \frac{2}{15} q M^3 \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{M^2}\right)^{3/2} , ... \tag{5.31}$$

$$J_1 = Ma , \quad J_3 = -Ma^3 + \frac{4}{15} q M^3 a \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{M^2}\right)^{3/2} , ... \tag{5.32}$$

The vanishing of the odd gravitoelectric (M_n) and even gravitomagnetic (J_n) multipole moments is a consequence of the symmetry with respect to the equatorial plane. From the above expressions we see that M is the total mass of the body, a represents the specific angular momentum, and q is related to the deviation from spherical symmetry. All higher multipole moments can be shown to depend only on the parameters M, a, and q.

We analyzed the geometric and physical properties of the above solution. The special cases contained in the general solution suggest that it can be used to describe the exterior asymptotically flat gravitational field of rotating body with arbitrary quadrupole moment. This is confirmed by the analysis of the motion of particles on the equatorial plane. The quadrupole moment turns out to drastically change the geometric structure of spacetime as well as the motion of particles, especially near the gravitational source.

We investigated in detail the properties of the Quevedo-Mashhoon (QM) spacetime which is a generalization of Kerr spacetime, including an arbitrary quadrupole. Our results show [20] that a deviation from spherical symmetry, corresponding to a non-zero electric quadrupole, completely changes the structure of spacetime. A similar behavior has been found in the case of the Erez-Rosen spacetime. In fact, a naked singularity appears that affects the ergosphere and introduces regions where closed timelike curves are allowed. Whereas in the Kerr spacetime the ergosphere corresponds to the boundary of a simply-connected region of spacetime, in the present case the ergosphere is distorted by the presence of the quadrupole and can even become transformed into non simply-connected regions. All these changes occur near the naked singularity which is situated at $x = 1$, a value that corresponds to the radial distance $r = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$ in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates. In the limiting case $a/M > 1$, the multipole moments and the metric become complex, indicating that the physical description breaks down. Consequently, the extreme Kerr black hole represents the limit of applicability of the QM spacetime.

Since standard astrophysical objects satisfy the condition $a/M < 1$, we can conclude that the QM metric can be used to describe their exterior gravitational field. Two alternative situations are possible. If the characteristic radius of the body is greater than the critical distance $M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$, i.e. $x > 1$, the exterior solution must be matched with an interior solution in order to describe the entire spacetime. If, however, the characteristic radius of the body is smaller than the critical distance $M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$, the QM metric describes the field of a naked singularity.

Bibliography

[1] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, and E. Herlt, Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 2003.

[2] F. J. Ernst, *New formulation of the axially symmetric gravitational field problem*, *Phys. Rev.* **167** (1968) 1175; F. J. Ernst, *New Formulation of the axially symmetric gravitational field problem II* Phys. Rev. **168** (1968) 1415.

[3] H. Quevedo and B. Mashhoon, *Exterior gravitational field of a rotating deformed mass*, *Phys. Lett. A* **109** (1985) 13; H. Quevedo, *Class of stationary axisymmetric solutions of Einstein's equations in empty space*, *Phys. Rev. D* **33** (1986) 324; H. Quevedo and B. Mashhoon, *Exterior gravitational field of a charged rotating mass with arbitrary quadrupole moment*, *Phys. Lett. A* **148** (1990) 149; H. Quevedo, Multipole Moments in General Relativity - Static and Stationary Solutions-, *Fort. Phys.* **38** (1990) 733; H.Quevedo and B. Mashhoon *Generalization of Kerr spacetime*, *Phys. Rev. D* **43** (1991) 3902.

[4] H. Weyl, *Zur Gravitationstheorie*, *Ann. Physik (Leipzig)* **54** (1917) 117.

[5] T. Lewis, *Some special solutions of the equations of axially symmetric gravitational fields*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **136** (1932) 176.

[6] A. Papapetrou, *Eine rotationssymmetrische Lösung in de Allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie*, *Ann. Physik (Leipzig)* **12** (1953) 309.

[7] F. J. Hernandez, F. Nettel, and H. Quevedo, *Gravitational fields as generalized string models*, Grav. Cosmol. **15**, 109 (2009).

[8] H. Quevedo, *General Static Axisymmetric Solution of Einstein's Vacuum Field Equations in Prolate Spheroidal Coordinates*, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 2904–2911 (1989).

[9] G. Erez and N. Rosen, Bull. Res. Counc. Israel **8**, 47 (1959).

[10] B. K. Harrison, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 1197 (1978).

[11] H. Quevedo, *Generating Solutions of the Einstein–Maxwell Equations with Prescribed Physical Properties*, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 1174–1177 (1992).

[12] W. Dietz and C. Hoenselaers, *Solutions of Einstein's equations: Techniques and results*, (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984).

[13] V. A. Belinski and V. E. Zakharov, Soviet Phys. – JETP, **50**, 1 (1979).

[14] C. W. Misner, *Harmonic maps as models for physical theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **18** (1978) 4510.

[15] D. Korotkin and H. Nicolai, *Separation of variables and Hamiltonian formulation for the Ernst equation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** (1995) 1272.

[16] J. Polchinski, String Theory: An introduction to the bosonic string, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001.

[17] D. Nuñez, H. Quevedo and A. Sánchez, *Einstein's equations as functional geodesics*, *Rev. Mex. Phys.* **44** (1998) 440; J. Cortez, D. Nuñez, and H. Quevedo, *Gravitational fields and nonlinear sigma models*, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **40** (2001) 251.

[18] R. Geroch, *J. Math. Phys.* **11**, 2580 (1970).

[19] R. O. Hansen, *J. Math. Phys.* **15**, 46 (1974).

[20] D. Bini, A. Geralico, O. Luongo, and H. Quevedo, *Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary quadrupole moment: Geometric properties vs particle motion*, Class. Quantum Grav. **26**, 225006 (2009).

[21] K. Akiyama et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), Astrophys. J. 875, L1 (2019).

[22] K. Akiyama et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), Astrophys. J. 875, L4 (2019).

[23] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2019c, Astrophys. J., 875, L3

[24] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2019d, Astrophys. J., 875, L4

[25] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2019e, Astrophys. J., 875, L5

[26] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2019f, Astrophys. J., 875, L6

[27] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2021a, ApJL, 910, L12

[28] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2021b, ApJL, 910, L13

[29] Medeiros L., et al., 2023, ApJL, 947, L7

[30] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2022a. ApJL 930 L12

[31] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2022b, ApJL 930 L13

[32] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2022c, ApJL 930 L14

[33] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2022d, ApJL 930 L15

[34] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2022e, ApJL 930 L16

[35] Akiyama K. et al. (Event Horizon Telescope), 2022f, ApJL 930 L17

[36] J. L. Synge, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 131, 463 (1966).

[37] J. P. Luminet, Astron. Asrophys. 75, 228 (1979).

[38] J. M. Bardeen, in Black Holes, edited by C. Dewitt and B. S. Dewitt (CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1973).

[39] S. Chandrasekhar, The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes; Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, (2002).

[40] D. Pugliese and H. Quevedo, Eur.Phys.J. C 81 3, 258 (2021).

[41] D. Pugliese and H. Quevedo, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 3, 209 (2019).

[42] D. Pugliese and H. Quevedo, Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 12, 1090 (2022).

[43] D. Pugliese and H. Quevedo, Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 5, 456 (2022).

[44] D. Pugliese and H. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B 972, 115544 (2021).

[45] D. Pugliese and H. Quevedo, Gen. Rel. Grav. 53, 10, 89 (2021).

[46] D. Pugliese and H. Quevedo, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 3, 258 (2021).

[47] K. Hioki and K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D, 80, 024042, (2009).

[48] T. Johannsen, Astrophys. J. 777, 170 (2013)

[49] M. Ghasemi-Nodehi, Z.-L. Li, and C. Bambi, Eur. Phys. J. C 75, 315 (2015).

[50] A. A. Abdujabbarov, L. Rezzolla, and B. J. Ahmedov, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 454, 2423 (2015).

[51] H. Falcke, F. Melia, and E. Agol, Astrophys. J. 528, L13 (2000).

[52] R. Takahashi, Astrophys. J. 611, 996 (2004); Astrophys. J. 611, 996 (2004).

[53] K. Beckwith and C. Done, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 359, 1217 (2005).

[54] A. E. Broderick and A. Loeb, Astrophys. J. 636, L109 (2006).

[55] A. E. Broderick and R. Narayan, Astrophys. J. 638, L21 (2006).

[56] L. Huang, M. Cai, Z. Q. Shen, and F. Yuan, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 379, 833 (2007).

[57] V. I. Dokuchaev, and N. O. Nazarova, Physics-Uspekhi 63 (6) 583, (2020).

[58] B. Carter, Phys. Rev., 174, 1559, (1968).

[59] B. Punsly, Black Hole Gravitohydromagnetics, Springer–Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2009).

[60] M. Camenzind, Compact Objects in Astrophysics: White Dwarfs, Neutron Stars and Black Holes, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg (2007).

[61] S. S. Komissarov,MNRAS, 350, 427–448 (2004).

[62] D. A. Uzdensky ApJ , 620:889–904, (2005).

[63] S. S. Komissarov, J. C. McKinney, MNRAS, 377, L49–L53 (2007).

[64] D. A. Macdonald, MNRAS, 211, 313–329 (1984).

[65] J. C. McKinney, MNRAS, 368, 1561–1582 (2006).

[66] B. Crinquand, B. Cerutti, G. Dubus, K. Parfrey, and A. Philippov, A&A 650, A163 (2021).

[67] I. Contopoulos, D. Kazanas and C. Fendt, ApJ , 511, 351–358, (1999).

[68] I. Contopoulos, D. Kazanas, and D. B. Papadopoulos, ApJ , 765, 113 (2013).

[69] J. C. McKinney, and R, Narayan, MNRAS, 375, 531–547 (2007).

[70] A. Nathanail, and I. Contopoulos.,ApJ , 788,186, (2014).

[71] H-Y. Pu, M. Nakamura, K. Hirotani, Y. Mizuno, K. Wu, and K. Asada, ApJ , 801:56, (2015).

[72] D. A. Uzdensky, ApJ , 603:652–662, (2004).

[73] A. Tchekhovskoy, R. Narayan, and J. C. McKinney, ApJ , 711, 50–63, (2010).

[74] Z. Pan, Phys. Rev. D, 98, 043023 (2018).

[75] R. D. Blandford and R. L. Znajek, MNRAS, 179, 433–456, (1977).

[76] R. L. Znajek, MNRAS, 179, 457, (1977).

[77] J. F. Mahlmann, P. Cerda–Duran, M. A. Aloy, MNRAS, 477, 3, 3927–3944 (2018).

[78] C. S. Reynolds, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **59** (2021), 117-154

[79] R. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific, VIRGO and KAGRA], [arXiv:2111.03606 [gr-qc]].

[80] V. Perlick, Living Rev. Relativ. 7, 9 (2004).

[81] M. D. Johnson et al., Sci. Adv. 2020; 6 : eaaz1310

[82] H. Yang, Physical Review D 86, 104006 (2012).

[83] Lu, R.S., Asada, K., Krichbaum, T.P. et al., 2023,Nature 616, 686–690

[84] Crinquand B., Cerutti B., Dubus G., Parfrey K., and Philippov A., Phys. Rev. Lett., 2022,129, 205101

[85] Broderick A. E.,et al., 2022a, ApJ, 935, 61

[86] Palumbo D.C. M. and Wong G. N. 2022 ApJ 929 49

[87] Broderick A. E.,et al., 2022b, ApJ, 927, 6

[88] Johnson, M. D. et al. Science Advances, 2020, 6, 12

[89] Lockhart W., Gralla S. E., 2022, MNRAS, 517, 2462.

[90] Will Lockhart and Samuel E. Gralla MNRAS 509, 3643–3659 (2022)

[91] Papoutsis E., Bauböck M., Chang D., Gammie C. F., 2023, ApJ, 944, 55.

[92] Tamburini, F. et al.,2020,MNRAS: Letters. 492: L22–L27

[93] Tiede P., Broderick A. E., Palumbo D. C. M., Chael A., 2022, ApJ, 940, 182.

[94] Wielgus M. et al 2022 ApJL 930 L19

[95] A. Chael, M. D. Johnson, and A. Lupsasca, ApJ, 918, 6, 2021

[96] C.J.S. Clarke, F. De Felice, Gen. Rel. Grav., 16, 2, 139-148 (1984)

[97] F. de Felice, Mont. Notice R. astr. Soc 252 197-202 (1991)

[98] F. de Felice, Class. Quantum Grav. 11, 1283-1292 (1994)

[99] F. de Felice and L. Di G. Sigalotti, Ap.J. 389, 386-391 (1992)

[100] F. de Felice and S. Usseglio-Tomasset, Class. Quantum Grav. 8., 1871-1880 (1991)

[101] F. de Felice and S. Usseglio-Tomasset, Gen. Rel. Grav. 24, 10 (1992)

[102] F. de Felice and S. Usseglio-Tomasset, Gen. Rel. Grav. 28, 2 (1996)

[103] F. de Felice and Y. Yunqiang, Class. Quantm Grav. 10, 353-364 (1993)

[104] I. V. Tanatarov and O. B. Zaslavskii, Gen. Rel. Grav. **49**, 9, 119 (2017)

[105] S. Mukherjee and R. K. Nayak, Astrophys. Space Sci. **363**, 8, 163 (2018)

[106] O. B Zaslavskii., Phys. Rev. D **98**, 10, 104030 (2018)

[107] O. B. Zaslavskii, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 2, 024050 (2019)

[108] Narayan, R., Johnson, M. D., & Gammie, C. F. 2019, ApJ, 885, L33

[109] White C. J., Dexter J., Blaes O., Quataert E., 2020, ApJ, 894, 14

[110] Porth, O., Chatterjee, K., Narayan, R., et al. 2019, ApJS, 243, 26

[111] Janssen M. et al. (2021). Nature Astronomy. 5 (10): 1017–1028

[112] Chatterjee, K. ; Younsi, Z.; Liska et al. 2020, MNRAS, 499, 362–378

[113] Emami R. et al. 2021, Astrophys. J. , 923, 272

[114] Lucchini M., Kraub F., Markoff S., 2019, MNRAS, 489, 1633

[115] Vincent F. H. et al. 2019 A&A 624, A52

[116] Curd B., Emami R., Anantua R., Palumbo D., Doeleman S., Narayan R., 2023, MNRAS, 519, 2812

[117] Anantua, R., et al.Galaxies 2023, 11, 4

[118] Gralla, S. E., Holz, D. E., & Wald, R. M. 2019, Phys. Rev. D, 100, 024018

[119] Gralla, S. E., & Lupsasca, A. 2020, Phys. Rev. D, 101, 044031