Generalizations of the Kerr-Newman solution

Contents

1.	Topics 1.1. ICRANet Participants1.2. Ongoing collaborations1.3. Students	177 177 177 177
2.	Brief description	179
3.	Introduction	181
4.	The general static vacuum solution4.1. Line element and field equations4.2. Static solution	183 183 185
5.	Stationary generalization5.1. Ernst representation5.2. The general solution	187 187 188
6.	Circular motion in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime6.1. Black holes6.2. Extreme black holes6.3. Naked singularities	191 192 194 194
7.	Exact and approximate solutions for astrophysical compact objects7.1. The Hartle-Thorne metrics7.2. Extended first-order approximation metric7.3. Relation to the Kerr solution7.4. The exact Quevedo-Mashhoon metric	199 201 203 205 206
Bil	bliography	211
Α.	Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions A.1. Abstract A.2. Introduction A.3. Limiting cases A.3.1. Kerr solution A.3.2. Erez-Rosen solution	215 215 216 218 219 220

	A.3.3. Hartle-Thorne solution	221
A.4.	Geometric properties of the solution	222
A.5.	Geodesics	225
	A.5.1. Particle at rest	229
A.6.	Circular orbits on the symmetry plane	231
A.7.	Concluding remarks	238
A.8.	Acknowledgements	241
A.9.	Appendix A: General form of QM solution with arbitrary Zipoy-	
	Voorhees parameter	242
A.10	Appendix B. Newman-Penrose quantities	242
A.11	.References	244

1. Topics

• Generalizations of the Kerr-Newman solution

1.1. ICRANet Participants

- Donato Bini
- Andrea Geralico
- Roy Kerr
- Remo Ruffini

1.2. Ongoing collaborations

• Hernando Quevedo (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico)

1.3. Students

- Kuantay Boshkayev (IRAP PhD, Kazakhstan)
- Wenbiao Han (IRAP PhD, China)
- Orlando Luongo (Rome University PhD, Italy)
- Daniela Pugliese (Rome University PhD, Italy)

2. Brief description

One of the most important metrics in general relativity is the Kerr-Newman solution which describes the gravitational and electromagnetic fields of a rotating charged mass. For astrophysical purposes, however, it is necessary to take into account the effects due to the moment of inertia of the object. To attack this problem we have derived exact solutions of Einstein-Maxwell equations which posses an infinite set of gravitational and electromagnetic multipole moments.

To study the physical relevance of such solutions in the context of relativistic astrophysics we analyze the particular case of a rotating mass with an arbitrary quadrupole moment. The investigation of the motion of test particles in the corresponding gravitational field shows that the quadrupole drastically affects the structure of spacetime. In particular, effects associated with repulsive gravity take place due to the presence of naked singularities. We perform an analytical study of circular motion around naked singularities in the specific case of the Reissner-Nordströn spacetime.

We study the problem of the interior solution for a rotating mass with quadrupole moment. In particular, we show that the approximate interior Hartle-Thorne solution can be matched with an approximate exterior solution which is a particular case of the exact Mashhoon–Quevedo exterior solution.

3. Introduction

It is hard to overemphasize the importance of the Kerr geometry not only for general relativity itself, but also for the very fundamentals of physics. It assumes this position as being the most physically relevant rotating generalization of the static Schwarzschild geometry. Its charged counterpart, the Kerr-Newman solution, representing the exterior gravitational and electromagnetic fields of a charged rotating object, is an exact solution of the Einstein-Maxwell equations.

Its line element in Boyer–Lindquist coordinates can be written as

$$ds^{2} = \frac{r^{2} - 2Mr + a^{2} + Q^{2}}{r^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta}(dt - a\sin^{2}\theta d\phi)^{2} -\frac{\sin^{2}\theta}{r^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta}[(r^{2} + a^{2})d\phi - adt]^{2} -\frac{r^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta}{r^{2} - 2Mr + a^{2} + Q^{2}}dr^{2} - (r^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta)d\theta^{2}, \quad (3.0.1)$$

where *M* is the total mass of the object, a = J/M is the specific angular momentum, and *Q* is the electric charge. In this particular coordinate system, the metric functions do not depend on the coordinates *t* and ϕ , indicating the existence of two Killing vector fields $\xi^I = \partial_t$ and $\xi^{II} = \partial_{\phi}$ which represent the properties of stationarity and axial symmetry, respectively.

An important characteristic of this solution is that the source of gravity is surrounded by two horizons situated at a distance

$$r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 - Q^2} \tag{3.0.2}$$

from the origin of coordinates. Inside the interior horizon, r_- , a ring singularity is present which, however, cannot be observed by any observer situated outside the exterior horizon. If the condition $M^2 < a^2 + Q^2$ is satisfied, no horizons are present and the Kerr–Newman spacetime represents the exterior field of a naked singularity.

Despite of its fundamental importance in general relativity, and its theoretical and mathematical interest, this solution has not been especially useful for describing astrophysical phenomena, first of all, because observed astrophysical objects do not possess an appreciable net electric charge. Furthermore, the limiting Kerr metric takes into account the mass and the rotation, but does not consider the moment of inertia of the object. For astrophysical applications it is, therefore, necessary to use more general solutions with higher multipole moments not only due to the rotation of the body. This means that even in the limiting case of a static spacetime, a solution is needed that represents the deviation from spherically symmetry.

4. The general static vacuum solution

In general relativity, stationary axisymmetric solutions of Einstein's equations (1) play a crucial role for the description of the gravitational field of astrophysical objects. In particular, the black hole solutions and their generalizations that include Maxwell fields are contained within this class.

This type of exact solutions has been the subject of intensive research during the past few decades. In particular, the number of know exact solutions drastically increased after Ernst (2) discovered an elegant representation of the field equations that made it possible to search for their symmetries. These studies lead finally to the development of solution generating techniques (1) which allow us to find new solutions, starting from a given seed solution. In particular, solutions with an arbitrary number of multipole moments for the mass and angular momentum were derived in (3) and used to describe the gravitational field of rotating axially symmetric distributions of mass.

The first analysis of stationary axially symmetric gravitational fields was carried out by Weyl (4) in 1917, soon after the formulation of general relativity. In particular, Weyl discovered that in the static limit the main part of the vacuum field equations reduces to a single linear differential equation. The corresponding general solution can be written in cylindrical coordinates as an infinite sum with arbitrary constant coefficients. A particular choice of the coefficients leads to the subset of asymptotically flat solutions which is the most interesting from a physical point of view. In this section we review the main properties of stationary axisymmetric gravitational fields. In particular, we show explicitly that the main field equations in vacuum can be represented as the equations of a nonlinear sigma model in which the base space is the 4-dimensional spacetime and the target space is a 2-dimensional conformally Euclidean space.

4.1. Line element and field equations

Although there exist in the literature many suitable coordinate systems, stationary axisymmetric gravitational fields are usually described in cylindric coordinates (t, ρ, z, φ) . Stationarity implies that *t* can be chosen as the time coordinate and the metric does not depend on time, i.e. $\partial g_{\mu\nu}/\partial t = 0$. Consequently, the corresponding timelike Killing vector has the components δ_t^{μ} . A second Killing vector field is associated to the axial symmetry with respect to the axis $\rho = 0$. Then, choosing φ as the azimuthal angle, the metric satisfies the conditions $\partial g_{\mu\nu}/\partial \varphi = 0$, and the components of the corresponding spacelike Killing vector are δ_{φ}^{μ} .

Using further the properties of stationarity and axial symmetry, together with the vacuum field equations, for a general metric of the form $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}(\rho, z)$, it is possible to show that the most general line element for this type of gravitational fields can be written in the Weyl-Lewis-Papapetrou form as (4; 5; 6)

$$ds^{2} = f(dt - \omega d\varphi)^{2} - f^{-1} \left[e^{2\gamma} (d\rho^{2} + dz^{2}) + \rho^{2} d\varphi^{2} \right] , \qquad (4.1.1)$$

where *f*, ω and γ are functions of ρ and *z*, only. After some rearrangements which include the introduction of a new function $\Omega = \Omega(\rho, z)$ by means of

$$\rho \partial_{\rho} \Omega = f^2 \partial_z \omega , \qquad \rho \partial_z \Omega = -f^2 \partial_{\rho} \omega , \qquad (4.1.2)$$

the vacuum field equations $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ can be shown to be equivalent to the following set of partial differential equations

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\partial_{\rho}(\rho\partial_{\rho}f) + \partial_{z}^{2}f + \frac{1}{f}[(\partial_{\rho}\Omega)^{2} + (\partial_{z}\Omega)^{2} - (\partial_{\rho}f)^{2} - (\partial_{z}f)^{2}] = 0, \quad (4.1.3)$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\partial_{\rho}(\rho\partial_{\rho}\Omega) + \partial_{z}^{2}\Omega - \frac{2}{f}\left(\partial_{\rho}f\,\partial_{\rho}\Omega + \partial_{z}f\,\partial_{z}\Omega\right) = 0, \qquad (4.1.4)$$

$$\partial_{\rho}\gamma = \frac{\rho}{4f^2} \left[(\partial_{\rho}f)^2 + (\partial_{\rho}\Omega)^2 - (\partial_z f)^2 - (\partial_z \Omega)^2 \right] , \qquad (4.1.5)$$

$$\partial_z \gamma = \frac{\rho}{2f^2} \left(\partial_\rho f \, \partial_z f + \partial_\rho \Omega \, \partial_z \Omega \right) \,. \tag{4.1.6}$$

It is clear that the field equations for γ can be integrated by quadratures, once f and Ω are known. For this reason, the equations (4.1.3) and (4.1.4) for f and Ω are usually considered as the main field equations for stationary axisymmetric vacuum gravitational fields. In the following subsections we will focus on the analysis of the main field equations, only. It is interesting to mention that this set of equations can be geometrically interpreted in the context of nonlinear sigma models (7).

Let us consider the special case of static axisymmetric fields. This corresponds to metrics which, apart from being axially symmetric and independent of the time coordinate, are invariant with respect to the transformation $\varphi \rightarrow -\varphi$ (i.e. rotations with respect to the axis of symmetry are not allowed). Consequently, the corresponding line element is given by (4.1.1) with $\omega = 0$,

and the field equations can be written as

$$\partial_{\rho}^{2}\psi + \frac{1}{\rho}\partial_{\rho}\psi + \partial_{z}^{2}\psi = 0$$
, $f = \exp(2\psi)$, (4.1.7)

$$\partial_{\rho}\gamma = \rho \left[(\partial_{\rho}\psi)^2 - (\partial_{z}\psi)^2 \right] , \quad \partial_{z}\gamma = 2\rho\partial_{\rho}\psi \,\partial_{z}\psi .$$
 (4.1.8)

We see that the main field equation (4.1.7) corresponds to the linear Laplace equation for the metric function ψ .

4.2. Static solution

The general solution of Laplace's equation is known and, if we demand additionally asymptotic flatness, we obtain the Weyl solution which can be written as (4; 1)

$$\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} P_n(\cos\theta) , \qquad \cos\theta = \frac{z}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}} , \qquad (4.2.1)$$

where a_n (n = 0, 1, ...) are arbitrary constants, and $P_n(\cos \theta)$ represents the Legendre polynomials of degree n. The expression for the metric function γ can be calculated by quadratures by using the set of first order differential equations (4.1.8). Then

$$\gamma = -\sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n a_m (n+1)(m+1)}{(n+m+2)(\rho^2 + z^2)^{\frac{n+m+2}{2}}} \left(P_n P_m - P_{n+1} P_{m+1} \right) .$$
(4.2.2)

Since this is the most general static, axisymmetric, asymptotically flat vacuum solution, it must contain all known solution of this class. In particular, one the most interesting special solutions which is Schwarzschild's spherically symmetric black hole spacetime must be contained in this class. To see this, we must choose the constants a_n in such a way that the infinite sum (4.2.1) converges to the Schwarzschild solution in cylindric coordinates. But, or course, this representation is not the most appropriate to analyze the interesting physical properties of Schwarzschild's metric.

In fact, it turns out that to investigate the properties of solutions with multipole moments it is more convenient to use prolate spheroidal coordinates (t, x, y, φ) in which the line element can be written as

$$ds^{2} = f dt^{2} - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{f} \left[e^{2\gamma} (x^{2} - y^{2}) \left(\frac{dx^{2}}{x^{2} - 1} + \frac{dy^{2}}{1 - y^{2}} \right) + (x^{2} - 1)(1 - y^{2}) d\varphi^{2} \right]$$

where

$$x = \frac{r_+ + r_-}{2\sigma}$$
, $(x^2 \ge 1)$, $y = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{2\sigma}$, $(y^2 \le 1)$ (4.2.3)

$$r_{\pm}^{2} = \rho^{2} + (z \pm \sigma)^{2}$$
, $\sigma = const$, (4.2.4)

and the metric functions are f, ω , and γ depend on x and y, only. In this coordinate system, the general static solution which is also asymptotically flat can be expressed as

$$f = \exp(2\psi)$$
, $\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} q_n P_n(y) Q_n(x)$, $q_n = const$

where $P_n(y)$ are the Legendre polynomials, and $Q_n(x)$ are the Legendre functions of second kind. In particular,

$$P_0 = 1, \quad P_1 = y, \quad P_2 = \frac{1}{2}(3y^2 - 1), \dots$$
$$Q_0 = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{x+1}{x-1}, \quad Q_1 = \frac{1}{2}x\ln\frac{x+1}{x-1} - 1,$$
$$Q_2 = \frac{1}{2}(3x^2 - 1)\ln\frac{x+1}{x-1} - \frac{3}{2}x, \dots$$

The corresponding function γ can be calculated by quadratures and its general expression has been explicitly derived in (8). The most important special cases contained in this general solution are the Schwarzschild metric

$$\psi = -q_0 P_0(y) Q_0(x)$$
 , $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{x^2 - 1}{x^2 - y^2}$,

and the Erez-Rosen (9)

$$\psi = -q_0 P_0(y) Q_0(x) - q_2 P_2(y) Q_2(x)$$
, $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{x^2 - 1}{x^2 - y^2} + \dots$

In the last case, the constant parameter q_2 turns out to determine the quadrupole moment. In general, the constants q_n represent an infinite set of parameters that determines an infinite set of mass multipole moments.

5. Stationary generalization

The solution generating techniques (12) can be applied, in particular, to any static seed solution in order to obtain the corresponding stationary generalization. One of the most powerful techniques is the inverse method (ISM) developed by Belinski and Zakharov (13). We used a particular case of the ISM, which is known as the Hoenselaers–Kinnersley-Xanthopoulos (HKX) transformation to derive the stationary generalization of the general static solution in prolate spheroidal coordinates.

5.1. Ernst representation

In the general stationary case $(\omega \neq 0)$ with line element

$$ds^{2} = f(dt - \omega d\varphi)^{2}$$

- $\frac{\sigma^{2}}{f} \left[e^{2\gamma} (x^{2} - y^{2}) \left(\frac{dx^{2}}{x^{2} - 1} + \frac{dy^{2}}{1 - y^{2}} \right) + (x^{2} - 1)(1 - y^{2})d\varphi^{2} \right]$

it is useful to introduce the the Ernst potentials

$$E = f + i\Omega$$
, $\xi = \frac{1 - E}{1 + E}$.

Then, the main field equations can be represented in a compact and symmetric form:

$$(\xi\xi^* - 1)\left\{ [(x^2 - 1)\xi_x]_x + [(1 - y^2)\xi_y]_y \right\} = 2\xi^*[(x^2 - 1)\xi_x^2 + (1 - y^2)\xi_y^2]$$

This equation is invariant with respect to the transformation $x \leftrightarrow y$. Then, since the particular solution

$$\xi = \frac{1}{x} \to \Omega = 0 \to \omega = 0 \to \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{x^2 - 1}{x^2 - y^2}$$

represents the Schwarzschild spacetime, the choice $\xi^{-1} = y$ is also an exact solution. Furthermore, if we take the linear combination $\xi^{-1} = c_1 x + c_2 y$ and introduce it into the field equation, we obtain the new solution

$$\xi^{-1} = rac{\sigma}{M} x + i rac{a}{M} y$$
 , $\sigma = \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$,

which corresponds to the Kerr metric in prolate spheroidal coordinates.

Moreover, one can show that if ξ_0 is a vacuum solution, then the new potential

$$\xi = \xi_0 \sqrt{1 - e^2} \tag{5.1.1}$$

represents a solution of the Einstein-Maxwell equations with effective electric charge *e*. This transformation is known in the literature as the Harrison transformation (10). Accordingly, the Kerr–Newman solution in this representation acquires the simple form

$$\xi = \frac{\sqrt{1 - e^2}}{\frac{\sigma}{M}x + i\frac{a}{M}y}, \qquad e = \frac{Q}{M}, \qquad \sigma = \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 - Q^2}.$$

In this way, it is very easy to generalize any vacuum solution to include the case of electric charge. More general transformations of this type can be used in order to generate solutions with any desired set of gravitational and electromagnetic multipole moments (11).

5.2. The general solution

If we take as seed metric the general static solution, the application of two HXK transformations generates a stationary solution with an infinite number of gravitoelectric and gravitomagnetic multipole moments. The HKX is applied at the level of the Ernst potential from which the metric functions can be calculated by using the definition of the Ernst potential *E* and the field equations for γ . The resulting expressions in the general case are quite cumbersome. We quote here only the special case in which only an arbitrary quadrupole parameter is present. In this case, the result can be written as

$$f = \frac{R}{L}e^{-2qP_2Q_2},$$

$$\omega = -2a - 2\sigma \frac{M}{R}e^{2qP_2Q_2},$$

$$e^{2\gamma} = \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{M}{\sigma}\right)^2 \frac{R}{x^2 - y^2}e^{2\hat{\gamma}},$$
(5.2.1)

where

$$R = a_{+}a_{-} + b_{+}b_{-}, \qquad L = a_{+}^{2} + b_{+}^{2},$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \alpha x (1 - y^{2})(e^{2q\delta_{+}} + e^{2q\delta_{-}})a_{+} + y(x^{2} - 1)(1 - \alpha^{2}e^{2q(\delta_{+} + \delta_{-})})b_{+},$$

$$\hat{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + q)^{2} \ln \frac{x^{2} - 1}{x^{2} - y^{2}} + 2q(1 - P_{2})Q_{1} + q^{2}(1 - P_{2}) \left[(1 + P_{2})(Q_{1}^{2} - Q_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}(x^{2} - 1)(2Q_{2}^{2} - 3xQ_{1}Q_{2} + 3Q_{0}Q_{2} - Q_{2}') \right].$$
(5.2.2)

Here $P_l(y)$ and $Q_l(x)$ are Legendre polynomials of the first and second kind respectively. Furthermore

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_{\pm} &=& x(1-\alpha^2 e^{2q(\delta_++\delta_-)}) \pm (1+\alpha^2 e^{2q(\delta_++\delta_-)}) \ , \\ b_{\pm} &=& \alpha y(e^{2q\delta_+}+e^{2q\delta_-}) \mp \alpha(e^{2q\delta_+}-e^{2q\delta_-}) \ , \\ \delta_{\pm} &=& \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(x\pm y)^2}{x^2-1} + \frac{3}{2}(1-y^2\mp xy) + \frac{3}{4} [x(1-y^2)\mp y(x^2-1)] \ln \frac{x-1}{x+1} \ , \end{array}$$

the quantity α being a constant

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma - M}{a}, \qquad \sigma = \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}. \tag{5.2.3}$$

The physical significance of the parameters entering this metric can be clarified by calculating the Geroch-Hansen (14; 15) multipole moments

$$M_{2k+1} = J_{2k} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (5.2.4)

~ /~

$$M_0 = M$$
, $M_2 = -Ma^2 + \frac{2}{15}qM^3\left(1 - \frac{a^2}{M^2}\right)^{3/2}$,... (5.2.5)

$$J_1 = Ma$$
, $J_3 = -Ma^3 + \frac{4}{15}qM^3a\left(1 - \frac{a^2}{M^2}\right)^{3/2}$,.... (5.2.6)

The vanishing of the odd gravitoelectric (M_n) and even gravitomagnetic (J_n) multipole moments is a consequence of the symmetry with respect to the equatorial plane. From the above expressions we see that M is the total mass of the body, a represents the specific angular momentum, and q is related to the deviation from spherical symmetry. All higher multipole moments can be shown to depend only on the parameters M, a, and q.

We analyzed the geometric and physical properties of the above solution. The special cases contained in the general solution suggest that it can be used to describe the exterior asymptotically flat gravitational field of rotating body with arbitrary quadrupole moment. This is confirmed by the analysis of the motion of particles on the equatorial plane. The quadrupole moment turns out to drastically change the geometric structure of spacetime as well as the motion of particles, especially near the gravitational source.

We investigated in detail the properties of the Quevedo-Mashhoon (QM) spacetime which is a generalization of Kerr spacetime, including an arbitrary quadrupole. Our results show (16) that a deviation from spherical symmetry, corresponding to a non-zero electric quadrupole, completely changes the structure of spacetime. A similar behavior has been found in the case of the Erez-Rosen spacetime. A naked singularity appears that affects the ergosphere and introduces regions where closed timelike curves are allowed. Whereas in the Kerr spacetime the ergosphere corresponds to the boundary of a simply-connected region of spacetime, in the present case the ergosphere is distorted by the presence of the quadrupole and can even become transformed into non simply-connected regions. All these changes occur near the naked singularity which is situated at x = 1, a value that corresponds to the radial distance $r = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$ in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates. In the limiting case a/M > 1, the multipole moments and the metric become complex, indicating that the physical description breaks down. Consequently, the extreme Kerr black hole represents the limit of applicability of the QM spacetime.

Since standard astrophysical objects satisfy the condition a/M < 1, we can conclude that the QM metric can be used to describe their exterior gravitational field. Two alternative situations are possible. If the characteristic radius of the body is greater than the critical distance $M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$, i.e. x > 1, the exterior solution must be matched with an interior solution in order to describe the entire spacetime. If, however, the characteristic radius of the body is smaller than the critical distance $M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$, the QM metric describes the field of a naked singularity.

The presence of a naked singularity leads to interesting consequences in the motion of test particles. For instance, repulsive effects can take place in a region very closed to the naked singularity. In that region stable circular orbits can exist. The limiting case of static particle is also allowed. Due to the complexity of the above solution, the investigation of naked singularities can be performed only numerically. To illustrate the effects of repulsive gravity analytically, we used the simplest possible case which correspond to the Reissner-Nordströn spacetime.

6. Circular motion in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime

In general relativity, the gravitational field of a static, spherically symmetric, charged body with mass *M* and charge *Q* is described by the Reissner-Nordström metric which in standard spherical coordinates can be expressed as

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{\Delta}{r^{2}}dt^{2} + \frac{r^{2}}{\Delta}dr^{2} + r^{2}\left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}\right) , \qquad (6.0.1)$$

where $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2$. The horizons are situated at $r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$. The study of the motion of test particles in this gravitational field is simplified by the fact that the equatorial plane, $\theta = \pi/2$, is a geodesic plane.

The tangent u^a vector to a curve $x^{\alpha}(\tau)$ is $u^{\alpha} = dx^{\alpha}/d\tau = \dot{x}^{\alpha}$, where τ is an affine parameter along the curve. The momentum $p^{\alpha} = \mu \dot{x}^{\alpha}$ of a particle with mass μ can be normalized so that $g_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^{\alpha}\dot{x}^{\beta} = -k$, where k = 0, 1, -1 for null, timelike, and spacelike curves, respectively. For the Reissner-Nordström metric we obtain

$$-\frac{\Delta}{r^2}\dot{t}^2 + \frac{r^2}{\Delta}\dot{r}^2 + r^2\dot{\phi}^2 = -k$$
(6.0.2)

on the equatorial plane. The last equation reduces to a first-order differential equation

$$-\frac{E^2 r^2}{\mu^2 \Delta} + \frac{r^2}{\Delta} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{L^2}{\mu^2 r^2} = -k , \qquad (6.0.3)$$

where we have used the expressions for the energy $E \equiv -g_{\alpha\beta}\xi_t^{\alpha}p^{\beta} = \mu \frac{\Delta}{r^2}\dot{t}$, and angular momentum $L \equiv g_{\alpha\beta}\xi_{\phi}^{\alpha}p^{\beta} = \mu r^2\dot{\phi}$ of the test particle, which are constants of motion associated with the Killing vector fields $\xi_t = \partial_t$ and $\xi_{\phi} = \partial_{\phi}$, respectively. Equation (6.0.3) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{r}^2 + V^2 = \frac{E^2}{\mu^2}$$
, with $V \equiv \sqrt{\left(k + \frac{L^2}{\mu^2 r^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right)}$. (6.0.4)

The investigation of the motion of test particles in the gravitational field of the Reissner-Nordström metric is thus reduced to the study of motion in the effective potential *V*. In this work, we will focus mainly on circular orbits for which $\dot{r} = 0$ and $V = E/\mu$, with the condition $\partial V/\partial r = 0$. A straightforward

calculation shows that this condition leads to

$$\frac{L^2}{\mu^2} = k \frac{r^2 (Mr - Q^2)}{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2} , \qquad (6.0.5)$$

an expression which we substitute in Eq.(6.0.4) to obtain

$$\frac{E^2}{\mu^2} = k \frac{(r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2)^2}{r^2(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)} .$$
(6.0.6)

Moreover, from the physical viewpoint it is important to find the minimum radius for stable circular orbits which is determined by the inflection points of the effective potential function, i.e., by the equation $\partial^2 V / \partial^2 r = 0$. It is easy to show that for the potential (6.0.4), the last equation is equivalent to

$$Mr^3 - 6M^2r^2 + 9MQ^2r - 4Q^4 = 0. (6.0.7)$$

We performed a detailed analysis of the circular motion of test particles governed by the above equations. Since the behavior of test particles strongly depends on the ratio Q/M, it is necessary to consider separately the cases of black holes (Q/M < 1), extreme black holes (Q/M = 1) and naked singularities (Q/M > 1).

6.1. Black holes

From the expressions for the energy and angular momentum of a timelike particle (k = 1) one sees that motion is possible only for $r > Q^2/M = r_*$ and for $r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2 > 0$. i. e. $r < r_{\gamma_-}$ and $r > r_{\gamma_+}$, with $r_{\gamma_{\pm}} \equiv [3M \pm \sqrt{(9M^2 - 8Q^2)}]/2$. In fact, from Eqs.(6.0.5) and (6.0.6) it follows that the motion inside the regions $r < r_*$ and $r \in (r_{\gamma_-}, r_{\gamma_+})$ is possible only along spacelike geodesics. At $r = r_{\gamma_{\pm}}$ one finds instead that the velocity of test particles at $v_{g_+} = 1$ must be equal to the velocity of light, i.e., the circles $r = r_{\gamma_{\pm}}$ represent null hypersurface.

At infinity, the effective potential tends to a constant which is independent of the value of the parameters of the test particle and of the gravitational source. In our case, we have normalized this constant by choosing the value of the total energy of the particle as E/μ . Moreover, as the outer horizon is approached from outside, the effective potential reaches its global minimum value and vanishes. The radius of circular orbits, r_{co} , is determined by the real positive root of the equation

$$Mr^{3} - \left(Q^{2} + \frac{L^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)r^{2} + \frac{3ML^{2}}{\mu^{2}}r - \frac{2Q^{2}L^{2}}{\mu^{2}} = 0.$$
 (6.1.1)

In general, in the region $r > r_{\gamma+}$ circular orbits do not always exist. For instance, for Q = 0 no circular orbits exist for $|L/(\mu M)| < \sqrt{12} \approx 3.45$, whereas for Q = M and Q = 0.5M the existence condition implies that $|L/(\mu M)| < \sqrt{8} \approx 2.83$ and $|L/(\mu M)| < 3.33774$, respectively.

In this context, it is interesting to explore the stability properties of the circular motion at $r = r_{co}$. To find the explicit value of the last stable radius we solve the condition (6.0.7) in the black hole region and find

$$\frac{r_{\rm LSCO}}{M} = 2 + \frac{4 - \frac{3Q^2}{M^2} + \left[8 + \frac{2Q^4}{M^4} + \frac{Q^2 \left(-9 + \sqrt{5 - \frac{9Q^2}{M^2} + \frac{4Q^4}{M^4}}\right)}{M^2}\right]^{1/3}}{\left[8 + \frac{2Q^4}{M^4} + \frac{Q^2 \left(-9 + \sqrt{5 - \frac{9Q^2}{M^2} + \frac{4Q^4}{M^4}}\right)}{M^2}\right]^{1/3}}.$$
 (6.1.2)

As expected, in the limiting case $Q \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the Schwarzschild value $r_{\text{LSCO}}^{\text{max}} = 6M$. The value of r_{LSCO} decreases as Q/M increases, until it reaches its minimum value $r_{\text{LSCO}}^{\text{min}} = 4M$ at Q/M = 1.

Orbits with $r > r_{LSCO}$ are stable, whereas the circular motion in the region $r_{\gamma_+} < r < r_{LSCO}$ is completely unstable. Since the velocity of a test particle at $r = r_{\gamma_+}$ must equal the velocity of light, one can expect that a particle in the unstable region will reach very rapidly the orbit at $r = r_{LSCO}$. For a static observer inside the unstable region, the hypersurface $r = r_{\gamma_+}$ might appear as a source of "repulsive gravity". This intuitive result can be corroborated by analyzing the behavior of energy and angular momentum of test particles. Both quantities diverge as the limiting radius $r = r_{\gamma_+}$ is approached, indicating that an infinite amount of energy and angular momentum is necessary to reach $r = r_{\gamma_+}$. As the ratio Q/M increases, the values of the energy and angular momentum at the last stable orbit decrease. For large values of the radius, the energy of circular orbits approaches the limit $E = \mu$, and the angular momentum $L/(M\mu)$ increases monotonically.

As a general result we obtain that the values for the radius of the last stable orbit as well as of the corresponding energy and angular momentum diminish due to the presence of the electric charge. Physically, this means that the additional gravitational field generated by the electric charge acts on neutral particles as an additional attractive force which reduces the radius of the last stable orbit.

6.2. Extreme black holes

In the case of an extreme black hole (Q = M) the outer and inner horizons coincide at $r_{\pm} = M$. The effective potential vanishes at the horizon and tends to 1 as spatial infinity is approached. In this open interval no divergencies are observed. The effective potential reduces to

$$V = \sqrt{1 + \frac{L^2}{\mu^2 r^2}} \left(1 - \frac{M}{r}\right) .$$
 (6.2.1)

so that the radius of circular orbits is

$$\frac{r_{\rm co}}{M} = \frac{L^2 - L\sqrt{-8\mu^2 M^2 + L^2}}{2\mu^2 M^2} \tag{6.2.2}$$

The energy and angular momentum of test particles moving along circular orbits are given by

$$\frac{E^2}{\mu^2} = \frac{(r-M)^3}{r^2(r-2M)}, \qquad \frac{L^2}{\mu^2} = \frac{Mr^2}{r-2M}.$$
(6.2.3)

Consequently, timelike circular orbits are restricted by the condition $r > r_{g+} = 2M$. As $r \to 2M$, the energy and angular momentum diverge, indicating that the circular motion at $r_{\gamma+}$ is possible only along null geodesics. As expected, the local minimum of these graphics determine the radius of the last stable orbit. At $r = r_{LSCO}$, the energy is $E \approx 0.918\mu$ and the angular momentum $L = 2\sqrt{2}\mu M$.

In general, we can see that this limiting case is very similar to the case of an arbitrary black hole. The radius of the last stable circular orbits reaches its minimum value, $r_{\text{LSCO}}^{\min} = 4M$, for a black hole, and the energy and angular momentum of the test particle diminishes as the minimum radius is approached.

6.3. Naked singularities

In the naked singularity case, Q > M, an inspection of the values for the energy and angular momentum

$$\frac{E}{\mu} = \frac{Q^2 + (r - 2M)r}{r\sqrt{2Q^2 + (r - 3M)r}}, \quad \frac{L_+}{(\mu M)} = \frac{r}{M}\sqrt{\frac{rM - Q^2}{2Q^2 - 3Mr + r^2}}.$$
 (6.3.1)

of the test particle shows that it is necessary to study separately four different regions: the region $1 < Q^2/M^2 < 9/8$, the value $Q^2 = \frac{9}{8}M^2$, the region $Q^2/M^2 > 9/8$ and finally the value $r = Q^2/M$.

The main results obtained from the study of the black hole and naked singularity cases are here summarized and compared in the plots of Fig.(6.1–6.3). In the three-dimensional plots of Fig.6.1 the energy and the angular momentum (6.0.6) are shown as functions of the circular orbits radius and the ratio Q/M, for both black hole and naked singularity cases.



Figure 6.1.: The pictures show the energy E/μ (*a*), and the angular momentum $L_+/(\mu M)$ (*b*), of a circular orbit for a neutral particle of mass μ in a Reissner-Nordström geometry of charge Q and mass M as function of r/M and charge-mass ratio Q/M in the range [0,2]. The $r = r_* \equiv Q^2/M$ line is also plotted. Numbers close to the points sign the energy and the angular momentum (underlined numbers) of the last stable circular orbits.

Fig.6.2 shows the circular orbits radius as a function of the angular momentum for black hole as well as for naked singularity configurations. One can see that the value of the central charge affects very drastically the motion of test particles. A naked singularity presents a very peculiar behavior due to the appearance of regions in which repulsive gravity plays an important role and even dominates over attractive gravity, for some values of the central charge. In Fig.6.2, the limiting Schwarzschild and Newtonian cases are also included for the sake of generality. It can be seen that for large values of r/Mthe radius approaches the Schwarzschild and Newtonian limiting cases. This is in agreement with the fact that asymptotically the mass term dominates over the charge term and that far away from the source the effective potential approaches the Newtonian gravitational potential.

Finally, the study of the stability of circular orbits is summarized in the Fig.6.3 where the radius of the last stable circular orbits is plotted as a function of the charge-to-mass ratio Q/M. We see that the location and structure of the stability zones of neutral particles around a black differs in a very strong way from the case of a naked singularity. In the case of a black hole, it is possible to find a stable circular orbit for any radius value greater that the value of the last stable orbit.



Figure 6.2.: The radius r/M of circular orbits for a neutral particle of mass μ in a Reissner-Nordström spacetime, with charge Q and mass M, is plotted as a function of the angular momentum $L/(\mu M)$ for different values of the ratio Q/M in the interval [0,2]. The radius of circular orbits for the Newtonian limit (dashed line) and the radius of the circular orbits for Schwarzschild case (dot-dashed line) are also plotted. The points represent the last circular orbits. Black-lines represent stable circular orbits, gray-lines represent unstable circular orbits. The curve $r = r_* \equiv Q^2/M$ is also plotted for comparison.

If we assume that test particles on circular motion around a black can be considered as being the constituents of an accretion disk, we conclude that such an accretion disk presents a continuous structure with a minimum radius which coincides with the radius of the last stable circular orbit. Accordingly, the minimum radius of such a hypothetical accretion disk is equal to 4*M* in the case of an extreme black hole, whereas the maximum radius value of 6*M* is reached in the case of the Schwarzschild black hole with vanishing charge.

In the case of a naked singularity configuration, the situation is completely different. Fig.6.4 represents this particular case in more detail. The main difference consists now in the possible structure of an accretion disk. Indeed, we see that in the open interval $1 < Q^2/M^2 < 9/8$, two zones of stability are possible, namely, in the interval (r_*, r_{c-}) and in the region $r > r_{c+}$. This means that an accretion disk in this region must have a discontinuous structure. In fact, particles can move on stable circular orbits in the interval (r_*, r_{c-}) which determines the interior and the exterior radius of the first accretion disk with a ring-like structure. In the region $r > r_{c+}$ a second disk can exist with interior radius r_{c+} . A more detailed analysis will be necessary in



Figure 6.3.: The minimum radius r/M for a stable circular orbit of a neutral particle of mass μ in a Reissner-Nordström geometry of charge Q and mass M is plotted as a function of Q/M. The ratio Q/M varies in the interval [0, 1.5]; in particular, for Q = 0 we find r = 6M with $r_+ \equiv 2M$ and $r_{\gamma_+} = 3M$, for Q = 1 we find r = 4M with $r_+ = M$ and $r_{\gamma_+} = 2M$. Here, $r_{\gamma_+} \equiv [3M + \sqrt{(9M^2 - 8Q^2)}]/2$ and $r_+ \equiv M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$. Numbers close to the points represent the energy E/μ and the angular momentum $L/(\mu M)$ (underlined numbers) of the last stable circular orbits.

order to establish whether this discontinuous structure of an accretion disk around a naked singularity can lead to observable effects.



Figure 6.4.: The minimum radius (black line) for the last stable circular orbit of a neutral particle of mass μ in a Reissner-Nordström naked singularity of charge Q and mass M is plotted as a function of the ratio Q/M in the interval [1, 2.2]. The dashed curve represents $r \equiv r_* = Q^2/M$, the dotted curve is $r = r_{\gamma_-} \equiv [3M - \sqrt{(9M^2 - 8Q^2)}]/2$, while the dotdashed curve is $r = r_{\gamma_+} \equiv [3M + \sqrt{(9M^2 - 8Q^2)}]/2$. The shaded regions are forbidden, for 1 < Q/M < 1.061 circular orbits can exist only for $r_* < r < r_{\gamma_-}$ (all stable) and $r > r_{\gamma_+}$ (unstable in $r_{\gamma_+} < r < r_c$, stable for $r > r_c$), while for Q/M > 1.061 circular orbits can exist only for $r > r_*$. The effective potential has a minimum in $r \equiv r_*$ where $L/(\mu M)(r_*) = 0$. Numbers close to the points sign the energy E/μ and the angular momentum $L/(\mu M)$ (underlined numbers) of the last stable circular orbits.

7. Exact and approximate solutions for astrophysical compact objects

In astrophysics, the term compact object is used to refer to objects which are small for their mass. In a wider sense, the class of compact objects is often defined to contain collectively planet-like objects, white dwarfs, neutron stars, other exotic dense stars, and black holes. It is well known that Newtonian theory of gravitation provides an adequate description of the gravitational field of conventional astrophysical objects. However, the discovery of exotic compact objects such as quasars and pulsars together with the possibility of continued gravitational collapse to a black hole points to the importance of relativistic gravitation in astrophysics. Moreover, advances in space exploration and the development of modern measuring techniques have made it necessary to take relativistic effects into account even in the Solar system. It is therefore of importance and interest to describe the relativistic gravitational fields of astrophysical compact objects in terms of their multipole moments, in close analogy with the Newtonian theory, taking into account their rotation and their internal structure.

In this context, the first exterior solution with only a monopole moment was discovered by Schwarzschild (17), soon after the formulation of Einstein's theory of gravity. In 1917, Weyl (4) showed that the problem of finding static axisymmetric vacuum solutions can generically be reduced to a single linear differential equation whose general solution can be represented as an infinite series. The explicit form of this solution resembles the corresponding solution in Newtonian's gravity, indicating the possibility of describing the gravitational field by means of multipole moments. In 1918, Lense and Thirring (18) discovered an approximate exterior solution which, apart from the mass monopole, contains an additional parameter that can be interpreted as representing the angular momentum of the massive body. From this solution it became clear that, in Einstein's relativistic theory, rotation generates a gravitational field that leads to the dragging of inertial frames (Lense-Thirring effect). This is the so-called gravitomagnetic field which is of especial importance in the case of rapidly rotating compact objects. The case of a static axisymmetric solution with monopole and quadrupole moment was analyzed in 1959 by Erez and Rosen (9) by using spheroidal coordinates which are specially adapted to describe the gravitational field of nonspherically symmetric bodies. The exact exterior solution which considers arbitrary values for the angular momentum was found by Kerr only in 1963. The problem of finding exact solutions changed dramatically after Ernst (2) discovered in 1968 a new representation of the field equations for stationary axisymmetric vacuum solutions. In fact, this new representation was the starting point to investigate the Lie symmetries of the field equations. Today, it is known that for this special case the field equations are completely integrable and solutions can be obtained by using the modern solution generating techniques (12). In this work, we will analyze a particular class of solutions, derived by Quevedo and Mashhoon (20) in 1991, which in the most general case contains infinite sets of gravitational and electromagnetic multipole moments. Hereafter this solution will be denoted as the QM solution.

As for the interior gravitational field of compact objects, the situation is more complicated. There exists in the literature a reasonable number of interior spherically symmetric solutions which can be matched with the exterior Schwarzschild metric. Nevertheless, a major problem of classical general relativity consists in finding a physically reasonable interior solution for the exterior Kerr metric. Although it is possible to match numerically the Kerr solution with the interior field of an infinitely tiny rotating disk of dust, such a hypothetical system does not seem to be of relevance to describe astrophysical compact objects. It is now widely believed that the Kerr solution is not appropriate to describe the exterior field of rapidly rotating compact objects. Indeed, the Kerr metric takes into account the total mass and the angular momentum of the body. However, the moment of inertia is an additional characteristic of any realistic body which should be considered in order to correctly describe the gravitational field. As a consequence, the multipole moments of the field created by a rapidly rotating compact object are different from the multipole moments of the Kerr metric. For this reason a solution with arbitrary sets of multipole moments, such as the QM solution, can be used to describe the exterior field of arbitrarily rotating mass distributions.

In the case of slowly rotating compact objects it is possible to find approximate interior solutions with physically meaningful energy-momentum tensors and state equations. Because of its physical importance, in this work we will study the Hartle-Thorne (22; 23) interior solution which can be coupled to an approximate exterior metric. Hereafter this solution will be denoted as the HT solution. One of the most important characteristics of this family of solutions is that the corresponding equation of state has been constructed using realistic models for the internal structure of relativistic stars. Semianalytical and numerical generalizations of the HT metrics with more sophisticated equations of state have been proposed by different authors. A comprehensive review of these solutions is given in (21). In all these cases, however, it is assumed that the multipole moments (quadrupole and octupole) are relatively small and that the rotation is slow. To study the physical properties of solutions of Einstein's equations, Fock (24) proposed an alternative method in which the parameters entering the exterior metric are derived by using physical models for the internal structure of the body. In this manner, the significance of the exterior parameters become more plausible and the possibility appear of determining certain aspects of the interior structure of the object by using observations performed in the exterior region of the body. Fock's metric in its first-order approximation was recently generalized in 1985 by Abdildin (25) to include the case of a rotating object.

7.1. The Hartle-Thorne metrics

If a compact object is rotating slowly, the calculation of its equilibrium properties reduces drastically because it can be considered as a linear perturbation of an already-known non-rotating configuration. This is the main idea of Hartle's formalism (22). To simplify the computation the following conditions are assumed to be satisfied.

1) There exist an one-parameter equation of state. The matter in equilibrium configuration is assumed to satisfy a one-parameter equation of state, $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{P} is the pressure and \mathcal{E} is the density of total mass-energy.

2) Axial and reflection symmetry. The configuration is symmetric with respect to an arbitrary axis which can be taken as the rotation axis. Furthermore, the rotating object should be invariant with respect to reflections about a plane perpendicular to the axis of rotation

3) Uniform rotation. Only uniformly rotating configurations were considered. It was shown previously that configurations which minimize the total mass-energy (e.g., all stable configurations) must rotate uniformly (26).

4) Slow rotation. It means that angular velocities Ω are small enough so that the fractional changes in pressure, energy density and gravitational field due to the rotation are all less than unity, i.e.

$$\Omega^2 \ll \left(\frac{c}{\mathcal{R}}\right)^2 \frac{GM}{c^2 \mathcal{R}} \tag{7.1.1}$$

where *M* is the mass and \mathcal{R} is the radius of the non-rotating configuration. The above condition is equivalent to the physical requirement $\Omega \ll c/\mathcal{R}$.

Under the above assumptions, the line element for the interior solution is given by

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 + \frac{2\Phi}{c^{2}}\right)c^{2}dt^{2} - \left[1 + \frac{2R}{c^{2}}\frac{d\Phi_{0}(R)}{dR} + \Phi_{2}(R)P_{2}(\cos\Theta)\right]dR^{2} - R^{2}\left[1 + \frac{2\Phi_{2}(R)}{c^{2}}P_{2}(\cos\Theta)\right](d\Theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\Theta d\phi^{2}), \quad (7.1.2)$$

where

$$\Phi = \Phi_0(R) + \Phi_2(R)P_2(\cos\Theta),$$
 (7.1.3)

is the interior Newtonian potential, Φ_0 is the interior Newtonian potential for the non-rotating configuration, Φ_2 is the perturbation due to the rotation, and $P_2(\cos \Theta)$ is the Legendre polynomial of first kind (22). The interior solution (7.1.2) satisfies Einstein field equations

$$R^{\nu}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} R = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T^{\nu}_{\mu}$$
(7.1.4)

where the stress-energy tensor is that of a perfect fluid

$$T^{\nu}_{\mu} = (\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{P})u^{\nu}u_{\mu} + \mathcal{P}\delta^{\nu}_{\mu}. \tag{7.1.5}$$

The 4-velocity which satisfies the normalization condition $u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = 1$ is

$$u^{R} = u^{\Theta} = 0, \ u^{\phi} = \Omega u^{t}, \ u^{t} = \left(g_{tt} + 2\Omega g_{t\phi} + \Omega^{2} g_{\phi\phi}\right)^{-1/2},$$
 (7.1.6)

where the angular velocity Ω is a constant throughout the fluid.

The HT metric describing the exterior field of a slowly rotating slightly deformed object is given by

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{2G\mathcal{M}}{c^{2}R}\right) \left[1 + 2k_{1}P_{2}(\cos\Theta) + 2\left(1 - \frac{2G\mathcal{M}}{c^{2}R}\right)^{-1} \frac{G^{2}J^{2}}{c^{6}R^{4}} (2\cos^{2}\Theta - 1)\right] c^{2}dt^{2} - \left(1 - \frac{2G\mathcal{M}}{c^{2}R}\right)^{-1} \left[1 - 2k_{2}P_{2}(\cos\Theta) - 2\left(1 - \frac{2G\mathcal{M}}{c^{2}R}\right)^{-1} \frac{G^{2}J^{2}}{c^{6}R^{4}}\right] dR^{2} - R^{2}[1 - 2k_{3}P_{2}(\cos\Theta)] (d\Theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\Theta d\phi^{2}) + 4\frac{GJ}{c^{2}R} \sin^{2}\Theta dtd\phi$$
(7.1.7)

where

$$\begin{split} k_1 &= \frac{GJ^2}{c^4 \mathcal{M} R^3} \left(1 + \frac{G\mathcal{M}}{c^2 R} \right) + \frac{5c^2}{8G^2} \frac{c^2 Q - J^2 / \mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}^3} Q_2^2 \left(\frac{c^2 R}{G\mathcal{M}} - 1 \right) , \\ k_2 &= k_1 - \frac{6G^2 J^2}{c^6 R^4} , \\ k_3 &= k_1 + \frac{G^2 J^2}{c^6 R^4} - \frac{5}{4G} \frac{c^2 Q - J^2 / \mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}^2 R} \left(1 - \frac{2G\mathcal{M}}{c^2 R} \right)^{-1/2} Q_2^1 \left(\frac{c^2 R}{G\mathcal{M}} - 1 \right) . \end{split}$$

Here Q_l^m are the associated Legendre functions of the second kind, and the constants \mathcal{M} , J and Q are related to the total mass, angular momentum and mass quadrupole moment of the rotating star, respectively. The HT metric

represents an approximate vacuum solution, accurate to second order in the angular momentum J and to first order in the quadrupole parameter Q. In the case of ordinary stars, such as the Sun, the metric (7.1.8) can be further simplified due to the smallness of the parameters:

$$\frac{G\mathcal{M}_{Sun}}{c^2\mathcal{R}_{Sun}} \approx 10^{-6}, \qquad \frac{GJ_{Sun}}{c^3\mathcal{R}_{Sun}^2} \approx 10^{-12}, \qquad \frac{GQ_{Sun}}{c^2\mathcal{R}_{Sun}^3} \approx 10^{-12}.$$
 (7.1.8)

There are two ways to incorporate this limit into the metric (7.1.8): either $R \rightarrow \infty$ or $c \rightarrow \infty$. For the first case, it is necessary that R be a well-defined radial coordinate, whereas the second one can be carried out in an invariant manner only by using the frame theory developed sometime ago by Ehlers (29). The result of the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ has been presented in (23) and the corresponding metric describes the gravitational field of the Sun with an accuracy of one part in 10^{12} . In order to compare in a invariant manner, the HT metric with other metrics we present here the result of applying Ehlers' formalism:

$$ds^{2} = \left[1 - \frac{2G\mathcal{M}}{c^{2}R} + \frac{2GQ}{c^{2}R^{3}}P_{2}(\cos\Theta) + \frac{2G^{2}\mathcal{M}Q}{c^{4}R^{4}}P_{2}(\cos\Theta)\right]c^{2}dt^{2} + \frac{4GJ}{c^{2}R}\sin^{2}\Theta dtd\phi$$
$$- \left[1 + \frac{2G\mathcal{M}}{c^{2}R} - \frac{2GQ}{c^{2}R^{3}}P_{2}(\cos\Theta)\right]dR^{2} - \left[1 - \frac{2GQ}{c^{2}R^{3}}P_{2}(\cos\Theta)\right]R^{2}(d\Theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\Theta d\phi^{2}).$$
(7.1.9)

The accuracy of this metric is of one part in 10^{18} . Consequently, it describes the gravitational field for a wide range of compact objects, and only in the case of very dense ($GM \sim c^2 \Re$) or very rapidly rapidly rotating ($GJ \sim c^3 \Re^2$) objects large discrepancies will appear.

7.2. Extended first-order approximation metric

Fock's first-order approximation metric was recently derived and investigated by Abdildin (30). Initially this metric was written in its original form in harmonic coordinate system (31; 32) as follows

$$ds^{2} = \left[c^{2} - 2U + \frac{2U^{2}}{c^{2}} - \frac{2G}{c^{2}} \int \frac{\rho' \left(\frac{3}{2}v^{2} + \Pi - U\right)' - P'_{kk}}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|} \left(dx'\right)^{3}\right] dt^{2} - \left[1 + \frac{2U}{c^{2}}\right] \left(dx_{1}^{2} + dx_{2}^{2} + dx_{3}^{2}\right) + \frac{8}{c^{2}} \left(U_{1}dx_{1} + U_{2}dx_{2} + U_{3}dx_{3}\right) dt,$$
(7.2.1)

where *U* is the Newtonian gravitational potential, ρ is the mass density of the body, *v* is the speed of the particles inside the body (liquid), Π is the

elastic energy per unit mass, P_{ik} is the stress tensor, \vec{U} is the gravitational vector potential. Newton's potential satisfies the equation $\Delta U = 4\pi G\rho$. The solution of this equation which satisfies the asymptotically flatness condition at infinity can be written in the form of a volume integral:

$$U = -G \int \frac{\rho'}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|} dx' dy' dz' .$$
 (7.2.2)

Furthermore, the vector potential must satisfy the equation $\Delta U_i = 4\pi G\rho v_i$ whose general asymptotically flat solution can be represented as

$$U_{i} = -G \int \frac{(\rho v_{i})'}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|} dx' dy' dz'.$$
(7.2.3)

In order to completely determine the metric, it is necessary to calculate the above integrals. Clearly, the result will depend on the internal structure of the body which is determined by the density ρ' and velocity v'_i distributions. Once these functions are given, the calculation of the integrals can be performed in accordance with the detailed formalism developed by Fock (24) and then extended and continued by Abdildin (25) and Brumberg (33). Introducing spherical coordinates, the resulting metric can be written as

$$ds^{2} = \left[c^{2} - \frac{2GM}{r} - \kappa \frac{GS_{0}^{2}}{c^{2}Mr^{3}} \left(1 - 3\cos^{2}\theta\right)\right] dt^{2} - \left(1 + \frac{2GM}{c^{2}r}\right) dr^{2} - r^{2} \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}\right) + \frac{4GS_{0}}{c^{2}r} \sin^{2}\theta d\phi dt , \quad (7.2.4)$$

where S_0 is the angular momentum of the body, M is the total (effective) mass. Here we added the constant κ and verified that in fact the above metric is an approximate solution for any arbitrary real value of κ . This simple observation allows us to interpret Fock's procedure as a method to find out how the internal structure of the object influences the values of the external parameters. For instance, the total mass in the above metric is M but it can decomposed as

$$M = m + \frac{\xi}{c^2},\tag{7.2.5}$$

where *m* is the rest mass of the body, and ξ is an arbitrary real constant which, as the constant κ , depends on the internal properties of the body. In particular, the cases of a liquid and a solid sphere have been analyzed in detail with the result

$$\xi = \begin{cases} \frac{8}{3}T + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon, & \text{for a liquid sphere,} \\ 4T + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon, & \text{for a solid sphere,} \end{cases} \qquad \kappa = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{7}, & \text{for a liquid sphere,} \\ \frac{15}{28}, & \text{for a solid sphere.} \end{cases}$$
(7.2.6)

where *T* is the rotational kinetic energy of the body and ε is the energy of mutual gravitational attraction of the particles inside the body. In the case of a static configuration ($S_0 = 0$), the extended metric (7.2.4) reduces to the approximate Schwarzschild metric, where *M* is the total mass expressed in terms of the internal parameters of the body as given in Eqs.(7.2.5) and (7.2.6). Notice that in this case the field does not depend on the constant κ .

In the general case ($S_0 \neq 0$), the angular momentum of the source generates a gravitational field which, to second order of accuracy in S_0 , depends on the constant κ . This opens the possibility of determining the value of κ by measuring the effects of the gravitomagnetic exterior field on test particles. For planet-like compact objects this effect is quite small. Nevertheless, in the case of test particles in the field of more dense sources it should be possible to perform measurements and determine the value of the parameter κ .

7.3. Relation to the Kerr solution

The Kerr metric (19) in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (35; 33) can be written as

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{2\mu\varrho}{\varrho^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\vartheta}\right)c^{2}dt^{2} - \frac{\varrho^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\vartheta}{\varrho^{2} - 2\mu\varrho + a^{2}}d\varrho^{2} - \left(\varrho^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\vartheta\right)d\vartheta^{2} - \left(\varrho^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\vartheta\right)d\vartheta^{2} - \left(\varrho^{2} + a^{2} + \frac{2\mu\varrho a^{2}\sin^{2}\vartheta}{\varrho^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\vartheta}\right)\sin^{2}\vartheta d\varphi^{2} - \frac{4\mu\varrho a\sin^{2}\vartheta}{\varrho^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\vartheta}cdtd\varphi$$

$$(7.3.1)$$

where

$$\mu = \frac{GM}{c^2}, \ a = -\frac{S_0}{Mc}$$
(7.3.2)

Expanding this metric to the order $\frac{1}{c^2}$, one obtains

$$ds^{2} = \left[c^{2} - \frac{2GM}{\varrho} + \frac{2GMa^{2}}{\varrho^{3}}\cos^{2}\vartheta\right]dt^{2} - \left(1 + \frac{2GM}{\varrho^{2}} - \frac{a^{2}}{\varrho^{2}}\sin^{2}\vartheta\right)d\varrho^{2} - \varrho^{2}\left(1 + \frac{a^{2}}{\varrho^{2}}\cos^{2}\vartheta\right)d\vartheta^{2} - \varrho^{2}\left(1 + \frac{a^{2}}{\varrho^{2}}\right)\sin^{2}\vartheta d\varphi^{2} - \frac{4GMa}{\varrho^{2}}\sin^{2}\vartheta d\varphi dt.$$

$$(7.3.3)$$

Furthermore, if we introduce new coordinates $\rho = \rho(r, \theta)$, $\vartheta = \vartheta(r, \theta)$ by means of the equations

$$\varrho = r - \frac{a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{2r}, \quad \vartheta = \theta - \frac{a^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta}{2r^2},$$
(7.3.4)

then the Kerr metric (7.3.3) can be reduced to the following form

$$ds^{2} = \left[c^{2} - 2\frac{GM}{r} - \frac{GS_{0}^{2}}{c^{2}Mr^{3}}\left(1 - 3\cos^{2}\theta\right)\right]dt^{2} - \left(1 + \frac{2GM}{c^{2}r}\right)dr^{2} - r^{2}\left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}\right) + \frac{4GS_{0}}{c^{2}r}\sin^{2}\theta d\phi dt , \quad (7.3.5)$$

which coincides with the metric (7.2.4) with $\kappa = 1$. Consequently, the extended Fock metric (7.2.4) can be interpreted as describing the exterior field of a rotating body to second order in the angular velocity. The advantage of using Fock's method to derive this approximate solution is that it allows to determine the arbitrary constant κ . In fact, whereas $\kappa = \kappa_L = 4/7$ for a liquid sphere and $\kappa = \kappa_S = 15/28$ for a solid sphere, the value for the Kerr metric $\kappa = \kappa_K = 1$ does not seem to correspond to a concrete internal model. On the other hand, all the attempts to find a physically meaningful interior Kerr solution have been unsuccessful. Perhaps the relationship with Fock's formalism we have established here could shed some light into the structure of the interior counterpart of the Kerr metric.

Furthermore, the coordinate transformation (23)

$$r = R - \frac{a^2}{2R} \left[\left(1 + \frac{2G\mathcal{M}}{c^2 R} \right) \left(1 - \frac{G\mathcal{M}}{R} \right) + \cos^2 \Theta \left(1 - \frac{2G\mathcal{M}}{c^2 R} \right) \left(1 + \frac{3G\mathcal{M}}{c^2 R} \right) \right],$$
(7.3.6)

$$\theta = \Theta - \frac{a^2}{2R^2} \left(1 + \frac{2G\mathcal{M}}{c^2 R} \right) \cos \Theta \sin \Theta , \qquad (7.3.7)$$

transforms the approximate Kerr solution into the HT solution (7.1.7) with $J = -\mu a$ and a particular quadrupole parameter $Q = J^2/\mu$.

In this way, we have shown that the extended Fock metric coincides for $\kappa = 1$ with the approximate Kerr solution which, in turn, is equivalent to the exterior HT solution with a particular value of the quadrupole parameter. The fact that in the Kerr solution the quadrupole moment is completely specified by the angular momentum is an indication that it can be applied only to describe the gravitational field of a particular class of compact objects. A physically meaningful generalization of the Kerr solution should include a set or arbitrary multipole moments which are not completely determined by the angular momentum. In the next section we present a particular exact solution characterized by an arbitrary quadrupole moment.

7.4. The exact Quevedo-Mashhoon metric

In this section we study the general metric describing the gravitational field of a rotating deformed mass found by Quevedo and Mashhoon (8; 20), which

is a stationary axisymmetric solution of the vacuum Einstein's equations belonging to the class of Weyl-Lewis-Papapetrou (4; 5; 6). For the sake of simplicity we consider here a particular solution involving only four parameters: the mass parameter M, the angular momentum parameter a, the quadrupole parameter q, and the additional Zipoy-Voorhees (36; 37) constant δ . For brevity, in this section we use geometric units with G = c = 1. The corresponding line element in spheroidal coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) with $r \ge \sigma + M_0$, $0 \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$ is given by

$$ds^{2} = f(dt - \omega d\phi)^{2} - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{f} \left\{ e^{2\gamma} \left(d\theta^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{M_{0}^{2} - 2M_{0}r + r^{2} - \sigma^{2}} \right) \left(\frac{(M_{0} - r)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} - \cos^{2}\theta \right) + \left(\frac{(M_{0} - r)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} - 1 \right) \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2} \right\}$$

$$(7.4.1)$$

where *f*, ω and γ are functions of *r* and θ only, and σ is a constant. They have the form $[x = (r - M_0)/\sigma, y = \cos \theta]$

$$f = \frac{R}{L} e^{-2q\delta P_2 Q_2},$$
 (7.4.2)

$$\omega = -2a - 2\sigma \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{R} e^{2q\delta P_2 Q_2}, \qquad (7.4.3)$$

$$e^{2\gamma} = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{M}{\sigma} \right)^2 \frac{R}{(x^2 - 1)^{\delta}} e^{2\delta^2 \hat{\gamma}},$$
(7.4.4)

where

$$R = a_{+}a_{-} + b_{+}b_{-}, \ L = a_{+}^{2} + b_{+}^{2}, \tag{7.4.5}$$

$$\mathfrak{M} = (x+1)^{\delta-1} \left[x(1-y^2)(\lambda+\eta)a_+ + y(x^2-1)(1-\lambda\eta)b_+ \right], \quad (7.4.6)$$
$$\hat{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}(1+q)^2 \ln \frac{x^2-1}{2q^2} + 2q(1-P_2)O_1 + q^2(1-P_2)[(1+P_2)(O_1^2-O_2^2) + Q_2^2] + q^2(1-P_2)O_1 + q^2(1-P_2)[(1+P_2)(O_1^2-O_2^2) + Q_2^2] + q^2(1-P_2)O_1 + q^2(1-P_2)[(1+P_2)(O_1^2-O_2^2) + Q_2^2] + q^2(1-P_2)O_1 + q^2(1-P_2)[(1+P_2)(O_1^2-O_2^2) + Q_2^2]$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}(1+q)^{2} \ln \frac{1}{x^{2}-y^{2}} + 2q(1-P_{2})Q_{1} + q^{2}(1-P_{2})[(1+P_{2})(Q_{1}^{2}-Q_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}(x^{2}-1)(2Q_{2}^{2}-3xQ_{1}Q_{2}+3Q_{0}Q_{2}-Q_{2}^{\prime})].$$
(7.4.7)

Furthermore

$$a_{\pm} = (x \pm 1)^{\delta - 1} [x(1 - \lambda \eta) \pm (1 + \lambda \eta)], \qquad (7.4.8)$$

$$b_{\pm} = (x \pm 1)^{\delta - 1} [y(\lambda + \eta) \mp (\lambda - \eta)], \qquad (7.4.9)$$

with

$$\lambda = \alpha (x^2 - 1)^{\delta - 1} (x + y)^{2\delta - 2} e^{2q\delta \delta_+},$$
(7.4.10)

$$\eta = \alpha (x^2 - 1)^{\delta - 1} (x - y)^{2\delta - 2} e^{2q\delta \delta_{-}}, \tag{7.4.11}$$

$$\delta_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(x \pm y)^2}{x^2 - 1} + \frac{3}{2} (1 - y^2 \mp xy) + \frac{3}{4} [x(1 - y^2) \mp y(x^2 - 1)] \ln \frac{x - 1}{x + 1}, \tag{7.4.12}$$

the quantity α is a constant.

To establish the relationship with the HT solution it is convenient to choose the Zipoy-Voorhees parameter as $\delta = 1 + sq$, where *s* is a real constant. Then, expanding the metric (7.4.1) to first order in the quadrupole parameter *q* and to second order in the rotation parameter *a*, we obtain

$$f = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{2a^2M\cos^2\theta}{r^3} + q(1+s)\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)\ln\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) + 3q\left(\frac{r}{2M} - 1\right) \times \left[\left(1 - \frac{M}{r}\right)\left(3\cos^2\theta - 1\right) + \left\{\left(\frac{r}{2M} - 1\right)(3\cos^2\theta - 1) - \frac{M}{r}\sin^2\theta\right\}\ln\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)\right],$$
(7.4.13)

$$\omega = \frac{2aMr\sin^2\theta}{r-2M},\tag{7.4.14}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r(r-2M)}{(r-M)^2 - M^2 \cos^2 \theta} + \frac{a^2}{2} \left[\frac{M^2 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta}{r(r-2M)((r-M)^2 - M^2 \cos^2 \theta)} \right] + q(1+s) \ln \frac{r(r-2M)}{(r-M)^2 - M^2 \cos^2 \theta} - 3q \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{r}{M} - 1 \right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \right] \sin^2 \theta.$$
(7.4.15)

The further simplification s = -1, and the coordinate transformation (16)

$$r = R + \mathcal{M}q + \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{M}q\sin^{2}\Theta\left[\frac{R}{\mathcal{M}} - 1 + \frac{R^{2}}{2\mathcal{M}^{2}}\left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{R}\right)\ln\left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{R}\right)\right] - \frac{a^{2}}{2R}\left[\left(1 + \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{R}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{M}}{R}\right) - \cos^{2}\Theta\left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{R}\right)\left(1 + \frac{3\mathcal{M}}{R}\right)\right] \quad (7.4.16)$$

$$\theta = \Theta - \sin\Theta\cos\Theta\left\{\frac{3}{2}q\left[2 + \left(\frac{R}{\mathcal{M}} - 1\right)\ln\left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{R}\right)\right] + \frac{a^{2}}{2R}\left(1 + \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{R}\right)\right\} \quad (7.4.17)$$

transforms the approximate QM solution (7.4.13)–(7.4.15) into the HT solution (7.1.7) with parameters

$$\mathcal{M} = M(1-q), \ J = -Ma, \ Q = \frac{J^2}{M} - \frac{4}{5}M^3q$$
. (7.4.18)

Introducing units with $G \neq 1$ and $c \neq 1$, in a similar manner, it is also possi-
ble to show that choosing $\delta = 1 - q$, and expanding the approximate metric (7.4.13)–(7.4.14) in powers of $1/c^2$, the resulting solution can be made to coincide with Fox's extended solution (7.2.4). In other words, the parameter κ turns out to be related with the Zipoy-Voorhees parameter δ .

We presented the main exact and approximate solutions of Einstein's equations which can be used to describe the interior and exterior field of astrophysical compact objects. We found that a particular QM solution, which in general possesses an infinite set of gravitational and electromagnetic multipole moments, contains the exact Kerr metric, as well as the approximate HT and the extended Fock solutions. Moreover, since the HT solution is endowed with its interior counterpart, we conclude that the approximate QM solution (to the second order in the angular momentum and to the first order in the quadrupole parameter) can be matched with the interior HT solution, indicating that it can be used to correctly describe the gravitational field of astrophysical compact objects.

We found that Fock's formalism can be used to construct models for the inner structure of compact objects from which it is possible to determine the parameters of the exterior approximate solution in terms of the inner parameters. A particular parameter which enters the extended Fock metric turns out to have very specific values in the case of a liquid sphere and a solid sphere. In the case of approximate Kerr metric, this parameter does not seem to correspond to any known interior model analyzed in the framework of Fock's formalism. This opens the possibility of attacking the problem of finding the interior counterpart of the exterior Kerr metric by using Fock's method. We expect to investigate this possibility in the near future.

Bibliography

- H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, and E. Herlt, Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 2003.
- [2] F. J. Ernst, New formulation of the axially symmetric gravitational field problem, Phys. Rev. 167 (1968) 1175; F. J. Ernst, New Formulation of the axially symmetric gravitational field problem II Phys. Rev. 168 (1968) 1415.
- [3] H. Quevedo and B. Mashhoon, Exterior gravitational field of a rotating deformed mass, Phys. Lett. A 109 (1985) 13; H. Quevedo, Class of stationary axisymmetric solutions of Einstein's equations in empty space, Phys. Rev. D 33 (1986) 324; H. Quevedo and B. Mashhoon, Exterior gravitational field of a charged rotating mass with arbitrary quadrupole moment, Phys. Lett. A 148 (1990) 149; H. Quevedo, Multipole Moments in General Relativity Static and Stationary Solutions-, Fort. Phys. 38 (1990) 733; H.Quevedo and B. Mashhoon Generalization of Kerr spacetime, Phys. Rev. D 43 (1991) 3902.
- [4] H. Weyl, Zur Gravitationstheorie, Ann. Physik (Leipzig) 54 (1917) 117.
- [5] T. Lewis, Some special solutions of the equations of axially symmetric gravitational fields, Proc. Roy. Soc. London **136** (1932) 176.
- [6] A. Papapetrou, Eine rotationssymmetrische Lösung in de Allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie, Ann. Physik (Leipzig) **12** (1953) 309.
- [7] F. J. Hernandez, F. Nettel, and H. Quevedo, *Gravitational fields as general*ized string models, Grav. Cosmol. 15, 109 (2009).
- [8] H. Quevedo, General Static Axisymmetric Solution of Einstein's Vacuum Field Equations in Prolate Spheroidal Coordinates, Phys. Rev. D 39, 2904– 2911 (1989).
- [9] G. Erez and N. Rosen, Bull. Res. Counc. Israel 8, 47 (1959).
- [10] B. K. Harrison, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1197 (1978).
- [11] H. Quevedo, Generating Solutions of the Einstein–Maxwell Equations with Prescribed Physical Properties, Phys. Rev. D 45, 1174–1177 (1992).

- [12] W. Dietz and C. Hoenselaers, *Solutions of Einstein's equations: Techniques and results*, (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984).
- [13] V. A. Belinski and V. E. Zakharov, Soviet Phys. JETP, 50, 1 (1979).
- [14] R. Geroch, J. Math. Phys. 11, 2580 (1970).
- [15] R. O. Hansen, J. Math. Phys. 15, 46 (1974).
- [16] D. Bini, A. Geralico, O. Luongo, and H. Quevedo, Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary quadrupole moment: Geometric properties vs particle motion, Class. Quantum Grav. 26, 225006 (2009).
- [17] K. Schwarzschild, Sitzungsber. d. Preub. Akad.d.Wissensch., 18 (1916).
- [18] H. Thirring and J. Lense, Z. Phys. **19**, 156 (1918).
- [19] R. P. Kerr, Phys. Rev. Letters, **11**, 237 (1963).
- [20] H. Quevedo and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. D 43, 3902 (1991).
- [21] S. Stergioulas, Living Rev. 7, (2004).
- [22] J. B. Hartle, Astr. J. **150**, 1005 (1967).
- [23] J. B. Hartle and K. S. Thorne, Astr. J. 150, 1005 (1967); 1967 Astr. J. 153, 807 (1968).
- [24] V. A. Fock, Theory of space, time and gravitation, (Pergamon Press, London, U.K., 1959)
- [25] M. M. Abdildin, On rotating liquid sphere metric: Questions of field theory (Alma-Ata University, 1985)
- [26] J. Hartle, and D. Sharp, Ap.J., 147, 317 (1967).
- [27] A. Papapetrou, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. 52, 11 (1948).
- [28] J. B. Hartle and D. H. Sharp, Ap. J., 147, 317 (1967).
- [29] J. Ehlers, *Frame theory* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1974)
- [30] M. M. Abdildin, Mechanics of Einstein gravitation theory (Alma-Ata University, 1988)
- [31] De Donder La gravifique einsteinienne (Paris, 1921)
- [32] K. Lanczos. Phys.ZS. 23, 537 (1923).
- [33] V. A. Brumberg, *Essential Relativistic Celestial Mechanics* (Adam Hilger, Bristol, UK, 1991).

- [34] M. M. Abdildin *Bodies motion problem in General Relativity* (Alma-Ata University, 1994)
- [35] R. H. Boyer and R. W. Lindquist, J. Math. Phys. 8, 265 (1967).
- [36] D. M. Zipoy, J. Math. Phys. 7, 1137 (1966).
- [37] B. Voorhees, Phys. Rev. D 2, 2119 (1970).

A. Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions

We include here the published paper "Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions," by D. Bini, A. Geralico, O. Luongo and H. Quevedo, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **26** 225006, 2009.

In this work are investigated the geometrical properties of the QM spacetime, which is a generalization of Kerr spacetime including an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment. It is shown how a deviation from spherical symmetry, corresponding to a non-zero gravitoelectric quadrupole moment, completely changes the structure of spacetime. A naked singularity appears that affects the ergosphere and introduces regions where closed timelike curves are allowed. Furtermore, the presence of a naked singularity leads to interesting consequences in the motion of test particles. For instance, repulsive effects can take place in a region very close to the naked singularity. In that region stable circular orbits can exist. The limiting case of static particle is also allowed, due to the balance of the gravitational attraction and the repulsive force exterted by the naked singularity.

A.1. Abstract

An exact solution of Einstein's field equations in empty space first found in 1985 by Quevedo and Mashhoon is analyzed in detail. This solution generalizes Kerr spacetime to include the case of matter with arbitrary mass quadrupole moment and is specified by three parameters, the mass M, the angular momentum per unit mass a and the quadrupole parameter q. It reduces to the Kerr spacetime in the limiting case q = 0 and to the Erez-Rosen spacetime when the specific angular momentum a vanishes. The geometrical properties of such a solution are investigated. Causality violations, directional singularities and repulsive effects occur in the region close to the

source. Geodesic motion and accelerated motion are studied on the equatorial plane which, due to the reflection symmetry property of the solution, turns out to be also a geodesic plane.

A.2. Introduction

The problem of describing the gravitational field of astrophysical bodies is of central importance in general relativity, both as an issue of principle and as a foundation for explaining the results of observations. It is an issue of principle because general relativity is believed to be the most accurate theory of the gravitational field. Consequently, Einstein's theory should accept the existence of exact solutions that correctly describe the gravitational field of realistic sources. On the other hand, the explanation of effects observed at the astrophysical level is extremely important.

Astrophysical bodies are characterized in general by a non-spherically symmetric distribution of mass. In many cases, like ordinary planets and satellites, it is possible to neglect the deviations from spherical symmetry; it seems instead reasonable to expect that deviations should be taken into account in case of strong gravitational fields.

The general metric describing the gravitational field of a rotating deformed mass was found in 1991 by Quevedo and Mashhoon [1, 2] and involves an infinite set of gravitoelectric and gravitomagnetic multipoles. This is a stationary axisymmetric solution of the vacuum Einstein's equations belonging to the class of Weyl-Lewis-Papapetrou [3–5] and is characterized, in general, by the presence of a naked singularity. To capture the main properties of the general solution [1, 2], we concentrate for the sake of simplicity on the special case of the general solution that involves only three parameters: the mass M, the angular momentum per unit mass a and the mass quadrupole parameter q of the source. This special case was first found by Quevedo and Mashhoon in 1985 [6]. Hereafter this solution will be denoted as the QM solution.

The corresponding line element in prolate spheroidal coordinates (t, x, y, ϕ) with $x \ge 1, -1 \le y \le 1$ is given by [7]

$$ds^{2} = -f(dt - \omega d\phi)^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{f} \left\{ e^{2\gamma} \left(x^{2} - y^{2} \right) \left(\frac{dx^{2}}{x^{2} - 1} + \frac{dy^{2}}{1 - y^{2}} \right) + (x^{2} - 1)(1 - y^{2})d\phi^{2} \right\},$$
(A.2.1)

where *f*, ω and γ are functions of *x* and *y* only and σ is a constant. They have

the form

$$f = \frac{R}{L}e^{-2qP_2Q_2},$$

$$\omega = -2a - 2\sigma \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{R}e^{2qP_2Q_2},$$

$$e^{2\gamma} = \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{M}{\sigma}\right)^2 \frac{R}{x^2 - 1}e^{2\hat{\gamma}},$$
(A.2.2)

where

$$R = a_{+}a_{-} + b_{+}b_{-}, \qquad L = a_{+}^{2} + b_{+}^{2},$$

$$\mathfrak{M} = \alpha x (1 - y^{2})(e^{2q\delta_{+}} + e^{2q\delta_{-}})a_{+} + y(x^{2} - 1)(1 - \alpha^{2}e^{2q(\delta_{+} + \delta_{-})})b_{+},$$

$$\hat{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + q)^{2} \ln \frac{x^{2} - 1}{x^{2} - y^{2}} + 2q(1 - P_{2})Q_{1} + q^{2}(1 - P_{2}) \left[(1 + P_{2})(Q_{1}^{2} - Q_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}(x^{2} - 1)(2Q_{2}^{2} - 3xQ_{1}Q_{2} + 3Q_{0}Q_{2} - Q_{2}') \right].$$
(A.2.3)

Here $P_l(y)$ and $Q_l(x)$ are Legendre polynomials of the first and second kind respectively. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\pm} &= x(1 - \alpha^2 e^{2q(\delta_+ + \delta_-)}) \pm (1 + \alpha^2 e^{2q(\delta_+ + \delta_-)}) ,\\ b_{\pm} &= \alpha y(e^{2q\delta_+} + e^{2q\delta_-}) \mp \alpha(e^{2q\delta_+} - e^{2q\delta_-}) ,\\ \delta_{\pm} &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(x \pm y)^2}{x^2 - 1} + \frac{3}{2} (1 - y^2 \mp xy) + \frac{3}{4} [x(1 - y^2) \mp y(x^2 - 1)] \ln \frac{x - 1}{x + 1} ,\\ (A.2.4) \end{aligned}$$

the quantity α being a constant

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma - M}{a}, \qquad \sigma = \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}. \tag{A.2.5}$$

The Geroch-Hansen [8, 9] moments are given by

$$M_{2k+1} = J_{2k} = 0$$
, $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ (A.2.6)

$$M_0 = M$$
, $M_2 = -Ma^2 + \frac{2}{15}qM^3\left(1 - \frac{a^2}{M^2}\right)^{3/2}$,... (A.2.7)

$$J_1 = Ma$$
, $J_3 = -Ma^3 + \frac{4}{15}qM^3a\left(1 - \frac{a^2}{M^2}\right)^{3/2}$,.... (A.2.8)

The vanishing of the odd gravitoelectric (M_n) and even gravitomagnetic (J_n) multipole moments is a consequence of the reflection symmetry of the so-

lution about the hyperplane y = 0, which we will refer to as "symmetry" (or equivalently "equatorial") plane hereafter. From the above expressions we see that *M* is the total mass of the body, *a* represents the specific angular momentum, and *q* is related to the deviation from spherical symmetry. All higher multipole moments can be shown to depend only on the parameters *M*, *a*, and *q*.

We limit our analysis here to the case $\sigma > 0$, i.e. M > a. In the case $\sigma = 0$ the solution reduces to the extreme Kerr spacetime irrespective of the value of *q* [2]. The case σ complex, i.e. a > M, requires a different definition of the quadrupole parameter in order to have real Geroch-Hansen moments and can be better discussed by using Weyl cylindrical coordinates. We will not explore this case here.

In this work we analyze some geometric and physical properties of the QM solution. The limiting cases contained in the general solution suggest that it can be used to describe the exterior asymptotically flat gravitational field of a rotating body with arbitrary quadrupole moment. This is confirmed by the analysis of the motion of particles on the equatorial plane. It turns out that the whole geometric structure of the QM spacetime is drastically changed in comparison with Kerr spacetime, leading to a number of previously unexplored physical effects strongly modifying the features of particle motion, especially near the gravitational source. In fact, the QM solution is characterized by a naked singularity at x = 1, whose existence critically depends on the value of the quadrupole parameter q. In the case q = 0 (Kerr solution) x = 1 represents instead an event horizon. This bifurcating behaviour accounts for the above mentioned drastic changes with respect to the Kerr metric.

Due to the very complicated form of the metric most of the analysis will be performed numerically.

A.3. Limiting cases

The QM solution reduces to the Kerr spacetime in the limiting case $q \rightarrow 0$ and to the Erez-Rosen spacetime when $a \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, it can be shown that the general form of the QM solution (see Appendix A) is equivalent, up to a coordinate transformation, to the exterior vacuum Hartle-Thorne solution once linearized to first order in the quadrupole parameter and to second order in the rotation parameter.

A.3.1. Kerr solution

For vanishing quadrupole parameter we recover the Kerr solution, with functions

$$f_{K} = \frac{c^{2}x^{2} + d^{2}y^{2} - 1}{(cx+1)^{2} + d^{2}y^{2}}, \quad \omega_{K} = 2a\frac{(cx+1)(1-y^{2})}{c^{2}x^{2} + d^{2}y^{2} - 1},$$

$$\gamma_{K} = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{c^{2}x^{2} + d^{2}y^{2} - 1}{c^{2}(x^{2} - y^{2})}\right), \quad (A.3.1)$$

where

$$c = \frac{\sigma}{M}$$
, $d = \frac{a}{M}$, $c^2 + d^2 = 1$, (A.3.2)

so that $\alpha = (c-1)/d$. Transition of this form of Kerr metric to the more familiar one associated with Boyer-Lindquist coordinates is accomplished by the map

$$x = \frac{r - M}{\sigma}$$
, $y = \cos \theta$, (A.3.3)

so that x = 1 corresponds to the outer horizon $r = r_+ = M + \sigma$.

To first order in *q* the QM solution becomes

$$f = f_{K} - 2q[P_{2}Q_{2}f_{K} + \Lambda_{+}\delta_{+} + \Lambda_{-}\delta_{-}] + O(q^{2}),$$

$$\omega = \omega_{K} + 2acq \left[2P_{2}Q_{2}\frac{c(x^{2} - y^{2}) + x(1 - y^{2})}{c^{2}x^{2} + d^{2}y^{2} - 1} + \Psi_{+}\delta_{+} + \Psi_{-}\delta_{-} \right] + O(q^{2}),$$

$$\gamma = \gamma_{K} + q \left[2(1 - P_{2})Q_{1} + \ln\left(\frac{x^{2} - 1}{x^{2} - y^{2}}\right) + \alpha d\frac{c(x^{2} - y^{2}) + 1 - y^{2}}{c^{2}x^{2} + d^{2}y^{2} - 1} (\delta_{+} + \delta_{-}) \right] + O(q^{2}),$$

(A.3.4)

where

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{\pm} &= d^2 (x \pm y) \frac{[c(x \mp y) + 1]^2 - y^2}{[(cx + 1)^2 + d^2 y^2]^2} , \\ \Psi_{\pm} &= \frac{x \pm y}{(c^2 x^2 + d^2 y^2 - 1)^2} \{ c d^2 (x \mp y)^2 (1 \pm xy) + c(x^2 - 1) (1 \mp xy) \\ &+ (x \mp y) [c^2 (x^2 - 1) + d^2 (1 - y^2)] \} . \end{split}$$
 (A.3.5)

This approximate metric could be used to describe the exterior field of an arbitrarily rotating mass source with a small quadrupole moment. The lowest Geroch-Hansen multipole moments in this case coincide with those of the exact solution as given in Eqs. (A.2.7) and (A.2.8). Differences will appear in higher moments where all terms containing q^2 and higher exponents must be neglected.

It is interesting to mention that in the limiting case $a \rightarrow M$, the QM metric

A. Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions

leads to the extreme Kerr black hole solution, regardless of the value of the quadrupole q [6]. This can be easily seen both at the level of the multipole moments (A.2.7) and (A.2.8) and by directly computing the limiting metric. The latter determines in turn the limit of applicability of the QM solution, since for values in the range a/M > 1 the multipole moments and the metric both become complex. As stated in the Introduction we will not consider such a situation here.

A.3.2. Erez-Rosen solution

Similarly, for vanishing rotation parameter we recover the Erez-Rosen solution [10–12]. It is a solution of the static Weyl class of solutions (i.e. $\omega = 0$) with functions

$$f_{ER} = \frac{x-1}{x+1} e^{-2qP_2Q_2}, \qquad \gamma_{ER} = \hat{\gamma},$$
 (A.3.6)

which reduce to

$$f_S = \frac{x-1}{x+1}$$
, $\gamma_S = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{x^2-1}{x^2-y^2}\right)$ (A.3.7)

when q = 0, corresponding to the Schwarzschild solution.

To first nonvanishing order in a/M we find

$$f = f_{ER} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2(x^2 - 1)(x + 1)} \left[(x + y)(y - 1)e^{4q\delta_+} - (x - y)(y + 1)e^{4q\delta_-} \right. \\ \left. -2(x^2 - y^2)e^{2q(\delta_+ + \delta_-)} \right] \left(\frac{a}{M}\right)^2 \right\} + O[(a/M)^4] ,$$

$$\omega = -2M \left\{ 1 + \frac{e^{2qP_2Q_2}}{2(x - 1)} \left[(x + y)(y - 1)e^{2q\delta_+} - (x - y)(y + 1)e^{2q\delta_-} \right] \right\} \frac{a}{M} + O[(a/M)^3] ,$$

$$\gamma = \gamma_{ER} + \frac{1}{4} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2(x^2 - 1)} \left[(1 - y^2)(e^{4q\delta_+} + e^{4q\delta_-}) + 2(x^2 - y^2)e^{2q(\delta_+ + \delta_-)} \right] \right\} \left(\frac{a}{M}\right)^2 + O[(a/M)^4] .$$
(A.3.8)

This approximate solution can be interpreted as a generalization of the Lense-Thirring spacetime [13, 14], which is obtained in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$ by retaining terms up to the linear order in a/M. Consequently, the approximate solution (A.3.8) could be used to investigate the exterior gravitational field of slowly rotating deformed bodies. A similar approximate solution, accurate to second order in the rotation parameter and to first order in the quadrupole moment, was found long ago by Hartle and Thorne [15].

A.3.3. Hartle-Thorne solution

The Hartle-Thorne metric describing the exterior field of a slowly rotating slightly deformed object is given by

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{R}\right) \left[1 + 2k_{1}P_{2}(\cos\Theta) + 2\left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{R}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{R^{4}} (2\cos^{2}\Theta - 1)\right] dt^{2}$$
$$+ \left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{R}\right)^{-1} \left[1 - 2k_{2}P_{2}(\cos\Theta) - 2\left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{R}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{R^{4}}\right] dR^{2}$$
$$+ R^{2} (d\Theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\Theta d\phi^{2}) [1 - 2k_{3}P_{2}(\cos\Theta)] - 4\frac{\partial}{R} \sin^{2}\Theta dt d\phi , \qquad (A.3.9)$$

where

$$k_{1} = \frac{\beta^{2}}{\mathcal{M}R^{3}} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{M}}{R} \right) - \frac{5}{8} \frac{\Omega - \beta^{2} / \mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}^{3}} Q_{2}^{2} \left(\frac{R}{\mathcal{M}} - 1 \right) ,$$

$$k_{2} = k_{1} - \frac{6\beta^{2}}{R^{4}} ,$$

$$k_{3} = k_{1} + \frac{\beta^{2}}{R^{4}} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{\Omega - \beta^{2} / \mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}^{2}R} \left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{R} \right)^{-1/2} Q_{2}^{1} \left(\frac{R}{\mathcal{M}} - 1 \right) .$$
(A.3.10)

Here Q_l^m are the associated Legendre functions of the second kind and the constants \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{J} and Ω are the total mass, angular momentum and mass quadrupole moment of the rotating star respectively.

It is interesting to find out the connection between the Hartle-Thorne solution and the QM solution in the appropriate limit. To this end it is necessary to start with the general form of the QM solution as given in Appendix A containing an additional parameter, the Zipoy-Voorhees [16, 17] constant parameter δ . For our purposes it is convenient to set such a parameter as $\delta = 1 + sq$, where *s* is a real number. For s = 0, i.e. $\delta = 1$, we recover the solution (A.2.1)–(A.2.5). To first order in the quadrupole parameter *q* and to second order in the rotation parameter *a*/*M* the metric functions turn out to be

$$f \simeq \frac{x-1}{x+1} \left[1 - q \left(2P_2 Q_2 - s \ln \frac{x-1}{x+1} \right) \right] - \frac{x^2 + x - 2y^2}{(x+1)^3} \left(\frac{a}{M} \right)^2,$$

$$\omega \simeq 2M \frac{1-y^2}{x-1} \left(\frac{a}{M} \right),$$

$$\gamma \simeq \hat{\gamma} (1+2sq) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1-y^2}{x^2-1} \left(\frac{a}{M} \right)^2,$$
(A.3.11)

where $\hat{\gamma}$ is defined in Eq. (A.2.3) and terms of the order of q(a/M) have also been neglected.

A. Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions

Introduce first Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) through the transformation

$$t = t$$
, $x = \frac{r - M}{\sigma}$, $y = \cos \theta$, $\phi = \phi$. (A.3.12)

Then set s = -1 and M = M'(1 + q). The further transformation

$$t = t$$
, $r = r(R, \Theta)$, $\theta = \theta(R, \Theta)$, $\phi = \phi$ (A.3.13)

with

$$r = R + M'q + \frac{3}{2}M'q\sin^{2}\Theta\left[\frac{R}{M'} - 1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{R^{2}}{M'^{2}}\left(1 - \frac{2M'}{R}\right)\ln\left(1 - \frac{2M'}{R}\right)\right] - \frac{a^{2}}{2R}\left[\left(1 + \frac{2M'}{R}\right)\left(1 - \frac{M'}{R}\right) - \cos^{2}\Theta\left(1 - \frac{2M'}{R}\right)\left(1 + \frac{3M'}{R}\right)\right],$$

$$\theta = \Theta - \sin\Theta\cos\Theta\left\{\frac{3}{2}q\left[2 + \left(\frac{R}{M'} - 1\right)\ln\left(1 - \frac{2M'}{R}\right)\right] + \frac{a^{2}}{2R^{2}}\left(1 + \frac{2M'}{R}\right)\right\}$$
(A.3.14)

finally gives the mapping between the general form of QM solution and the Hartle-Thorne metric (A.3.9) with parameters

$$\mathcal{M} = M' = M(1-q)$$
, $\mathcal{J} = -Ma$, $\Omega = \frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{M} + \frac{4}{5}M^3q$. (A.3.15)

Note that the previous transformation is obtained simply by combining the corresponding transformation from Kerr to Hartle-Thorne solution as given by Hartle and Thorne themselves in [15] and that from Erez-Rosen to Hartle-Thorne solution as found by Mashhoon and Theiss in [18].

A.4. Geometric properties of the solution

The solution admits two Killing vectors associated with translation in time and rotation about the symmetry axis. The timelike Killing vector ∂_t changes its causality property when f = 0, defining a hypersurface which in the Kerr limiting case is called ergosurface as the boundary of the ergosphere (or equivalently ergoregion). Using this terminology also in this case we compare in Figs. A.1 and A.2 the ergoregions of Kerr solution and QM solution (for different values of the quadrupole parameter) respectively. The situation is completely different. In fact, while in the Kerr case such a hypersurface is the boundary of a simply-connected domain, in the case of the QM solution this property is no more true, as soon as the magnitude of the quadrupole parameter exceeds a certain critical value (for example, for the choice a/M = 0.5 the range of Kerr-like behaviour corresponds to $-1 \leq q \leq 1.21$).

The spacelike Killing vector ∂_{ϕ} also changes its causality condition in some regions, leading to the existence of closed timelike curves in the QM solution. These regions are depicted in Fig. A.3 for different values of the quadrupole parameter.

In order to investigate the structure of singularities in the QM metric we have to consider the curvature invariants. Since the solution is a vacuum one there exist just two independent quadratic scalar invariants, the Kretschmann invariant K_1 and the Chern-Pontryagin invariant K_2 defined by

$$K_1 = R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, \qquad K_2 = {}^*R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, \qquad (A.4.1)$$

where the star denotes the dual. The behaviour of curvature invariants as functions of *x* for selected values of *y*, a/M and *q* is shown in Fig. A.4. It turns out that K_1 diverges when approaching x = 1 along the direction y = 0, but it is finite there moving along a different path. Furthermore, the invariant K_2 vanishes identically for y = 0. Therefore, the metric has a directional singularity at x = 1 (see e.g. [19]).

It is now interesting to investigate what kind of hypersurface is x = 1. Consider the normal to a x = const hypersurface. The behaviour of its norm g^{xx} when approaching x = 1 discriminates between its character being either null or timelike depending on the value of the quadrupole parameter. The limit of g^{xx} as $x \to 1$ also depends on y. For instance, approaching x = 1 along any direction on the equatorial plane y = 0 gives

$$g^{xx} \sim (x-1)^{1+q/2-q^2/4}$$
, (A.4.2)

implying that the singular hypersurface x = 1 is null when $|q - 1| < \sqrt{5}$ and timelike otherwise. On the other hand, moving along the axis y = 1 gives

$$g^{xx} \sim \begin{cases} (x-1)^{1+q}, & q > 0\\ (x-1), & -1 < q < 0\\ (x-1)^{-q}, & q < -1, \end{cases}$$
(A.4.3)

implying that the singular hypersurface x = 1 is always null. The corresponding careful analysis for the Erez-Rosen solution (a = 0) is contained in Appendix A of [30].

A similar discussion can be done also for the metric determinant. Approaching x = 1 along any direction on the equatorial plane y = 0 gives

$$\sqrt{-g} \sim (x-1)^{-q/2+q^2/4}$$
, (A.4.4)

implying that the volume element vanishes approaching the singular hypersurface x = 1 when q < 0, q > 2 and diverges otherwise. On the other hand, moving along the axis y = 1 gives

$$\sqrt{-g} \sim \begin{cases} (x-1)^{-q}, & q > 0\\ \text{const}, & -1 < q < 0\\ (x-1)^{q+1}, & q < -1. \end{cases}$$
(A.4.5)

We note that if the presence of the quadrupole moment totally changes the situation with respect to the Kerr spacetime, the smooth horizon of the Kerr solution becoming a singular hypersurface in the QM solution, the properties of the naked singularity are also different with respect to the limiting case of the Erez-Rosen spacetime.

Finally, the spectral index *S* (see Appendix B for the definition of *S* in terms of Weyl scalars) shows that the solution is algebraically general. The real part of *S* is plotted in Fig. A.5 as a function of *x* for y = 0 and selected values of a/M and q. The imaginary part is identically zero in this case. A numerical analysis of the spectral index for different values of the quadrupole moment shows that $S \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. it is algebraically special. We conclude that the asymptotic behavior of the spacetime is dominated by the Kerr spacetime which is algebraically special of type D. This is in agreement with the expectation which follows from the analysis of relativistic multipole moments, according to which any stationary axisymmetric asymptotically flat vacuum solution of Einstein's equations must approach the Kerr metric asymptotically [20].

Note that drawing a Penrose diagram would help to easier understand the global aspects of the geometry of the QM solution. However, due to the rather involved form of the metric functions it is a very hard task to construct it. Analytic calculations can be performed only in the case of small values of the quadrupole parameter q. But in this simplest case ($q \ll 1$) it is possible to show that the resulting conformal diagram is closely similar to the corresponding one for a Kerr naked singularity (see e.g. Fig. 6.4 of Ref. [21]).

A. Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions



Figure A.1.: The shape of the ergoregion is shown in the case of vanishing quadrupole parameter q = 0 (Kerr spacetime) for the choice a/M = 0.5 in prolate spheroidal coordinates.

A.5. Geodesics

The geodesic motion of test particles is governed by the following equations:

$$\begin{split} \dot{t} &= \frac{E}{f} + \frac{\omega f}{\sigma^2 X^2 Y^2} (L - \omega E) , \qquad \dot{\phi} = \frac{f}{\sigma^2 X^2 Y^2} (L - \omega E) , \\ \dot{y} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{Y^2}{X^2} \left[\frac{f_y}{f} - 2\gamma_y + \frac{2y}{X^2 + Y^2} \right] \dot{x}^2 + \left[\frac{f_x}{f} - 2\gamma_x - \frac{2x}{X^2 + Y^2} \right] \dot{x} \dot{y} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{f_y}{f} - 2\gamma_y - \frac{2y}{X^2 + Y^2} \frac{X^2}{Y^2} \right] \dot{y}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-2\gamma}}{f \sigma^4 X^2 Y^2 (X^2 + Y^2)} \left\{ Y^2 [f^2 (L - \omega E)^2 + E^2 \sigma^2 X^2 Y^2] f_y + 2(L - \omega E) f^3 [y (L - \omega E) - E Y^2 \omega_y] \right\} , \\ \dot{x}^2 &= -\frac{X^2}{Y^2} \dot{y}^2 + \frac{e^{-2\gamma} X^2}{\sigma^2 (X^2 + Y^2)} \left[E^2 - \mu^2 f - \frac{f^2}{\sigma^2 X^2 Y^2} (L - \omega E)^2 \right] , \end{split}$$
(A.5.1)

where Killing symmetries and the normalization condition have been used. Here *E* and *L* are the energy and angular momentum of the test particle respectively, μ is the particle mass and dot denotes differentiation with respect to the affine parameter; furthermore, the notation

$$X = \sqrt{x^2 - 1}$$
, $Y = \sqrt{1 - y^2}$ (A.5.2)

has been introduced.

Let us consider the motion on the symmetry plane y = 0. If y = 0 and



Figure A.2.: The shape of the ergoregion is shown for a/M = 0.5 and different values of the quadrupole parameter: q = [-10, -1, 1, 10], from (a) to (d) respectively. For q = -1 and q = 1 the shape is similar to the Kerr case, i.e. the regions are simply-connected.

A. Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions



Figure A.3.: The regions where the metric component $g_{\phi\phi}$ changes its sign are shown for a/M = 0.5 and different values of the quadrupole parameter: (a) q = 10 and (b) q = -10. The existence of closed timelike curves is allowed there.

 $\dot{y} = 0$ initially, Eq. (A.5.1)₃ ensures that the motion will be confined on the symmetry plane, since f_y , ω_y and γ_y all vanish at y = 0, so that $\ddot{y} = 0$ too. Eqs. (A.5.1) thus reduce to

$$\dot{t} = \frac{E}{f} + \frac{\omega f}{\sigma^2 X^2} (L - \omega E) , \qquad \dot{\phi} = \frac{f}{\sigma^2 X^2} (L - \omega E) ,$$

$$\dot{x}^2 = \frac{e^{-2\gamma} X^2}{\sigma^2 (1 + X^2)} \left[E^2 - \mu^2 f - \frac{f^2}{\sigma^2 X^2} (L - \omega E)^2 \right] , \qquad (A.5.3)$$

where metric functions are meant to be evaluated at y = 0. The motion turns out to be governed by the effective potential *V* defined by the equation

$$V^{2} - \mu^{2}f - \frac{f^{2}}{\sigma^{2}X^{2}}(L - \omega V)^{2} = 0.$$
 (A.5.4)

In fact, for E = V the rhs of Eq. (A.5.3)₃ vanishes.

The behaviour of *V* as a function of *x* is shown in Fig. A.6. Repulsive effects occur for decreasing values of *x* approaching x = 1. It is worth to mention that the repulsive effect of the naked singularity has also been investigated by Herrera [22] in the case of a quasispherical spacetime but without taking into account the rotation of the source.

The case of a geodesic particle at rest will be analyzed below. Circular

A. Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions



Figure A.4.: The behaviour of the Kretschmann invariant K_1 as a function of x is shown for the choice of parameters a/M = 0.5 and q = 10 for different values of y: (a) y = 0 and (b) y = 0.5. Figure (c) shows instead the behaviour of the Chern-Pontryagin invariant K_2 for the same choice of parameters as in Fig. (b). Figs. (b) and (c) show how both the invariants K_1 and K_2 change their signs as x approaches unity. This is a manifestation of the appearence of repulsive gravity regions, typical of naked singularity solutions. When $x \to \infty$ the invariants K_1 and K_2 both vanish, as expected.

A. Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions



Figure A.5.: The behaviour of the real part of the speciality index *S* as a function of *x* is shown for the choice of parameters a/M = 0.5, q = 10 and y = 0. In this case (y = 0) we have also Im(S) $\equiv 0$.

geodesics will be discussed in detail in the next section, where accelerated orbits are also studied.

A.5.1. Particle at rest

For the QM solution which is characterized by the presence of a naked singularity it is even possible to satisfy the conditions for a geodesic particle to be at rest for certain values of the quadrupole moment. This effect can be explained when the attractive behaviour of gravity is balanced by a repulsive force exerted by the naked singularity. Usually, repulsive effects are interpreted as a consequence of the presence of an effective mass which varies with distance and can thus become negative. The consideration of the corresponding post-Newtonian limit shows that an effective mass can be indeed introduced, depending on the distance from the source and the value of the Geroch-Hansen quadrupole moment [23]. We see that in the case of the corresponding exact solution under consideration a similar situation takes place.

A particle at rest is characterized by the four velocity

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} \partial_t . \tag{A.5.5}$$



Figure A.6.: The behaviour of the effective potential *V* as a function of *x* is shown for the choice of parameters a/M = 0.5 and $L/(\mu M) = 10$ for different values of the quadrupole parameter: (a) q = 0, (b) q = 10 and (c) q = -10.

A. Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions



Figure A.7.: The pairs (x, q) allowing a test particle to be at rest in the QM spacetime are shown for a/M = 0.5. Fig. (b) is a detail of Fig. (a) close to x = 1. No equilibrium positions exist for $q \le 0$.

The corresponding four acceleration $a(U) = \nabla_U U$ is given by

$$a(U) = \frac{e^{-2\gamma}}{2\sigma^2(X^2 + Y^2)} [X^2 f_x \partial_x + Y^2 f_y \partial_y].$$
 (A.5.6)

On the symmetry plane y = 0 we have $f_y = 0$, so that the geodesic condition a(U) = 0 implies $f_x = 0$. The pairs (x, q) satisfying this condition are shown in Fig. A.7 for a fixed value of a/M. As an example, for a/M = 0.5 and q = 10 we get $x \approx 1.588$ as the equilibrium position. In this case the corresponding energy and angular momentum per unit mass of the particle are given by $E/\mu \approx 0.553$ and $L/(\mu M) \approx 0.257$ respectively. No equilibrium positions exist for $q \leq 0$.

A.6. Circular orbits on the symmetry plane

Let us introduce the ZAMO family of fiducial observers, with four velocity

$$n = N^{-1} (\partial_t - N^{\phi} \partial_{\phi}); \tag{A.6.1}$$

here $N = (-g^{tt})^{-1/2}$ and $N^{\phi} = g_{t\phi}/g_{\phi\phi}$ are the lapse and shift functions respectively. A suitable orthonormal frame adapted to ZAMOs is given by

$$e_{\hat{t}} = n, \quad e_{\hat{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{xx}}}\partial_x, \quad e_{\hat{y}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{yy}}}\partial_y, \quad e_{\hat{\phi}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{\phi\phi}}}\partial_{\phi},$$
 (A.6.2)

with dual

$$\omega^{\hat{t}} = Ndt , \quad \omega^{\hat{x}} = \sqrt{g_{xx}}dx , \quad \omega^{\hat{y}} = \sqrt{g_{yy}}dy , \quad \omega^{\hat{\phi}} = \sqrt{g_{\phi\phi}}(d\phi + N^{\phi}dt) .$$
(A.6.3)

The 4-velocity *U* of uniformly rotating circular orbits can be parametrized either by the (constant) angular velocity with respect to infinity ζ or, equivalently, by the (constant) linear velocity ν with respect to ZAMOs

$$U = \Gamma[\partial_t + \zeta \partial_{\phi}] = \gamma[e_{\hat{t}} + \nu e_{\hat{\phi}}], \qquad \gamma = (1 - \nu^2)^{-1/2}, \qquad (A.6.4)$$

where Γ is a normalization factor which assures that $U_{\alpha}U^{\alpha} = -1$ given by:

$$\Gamma = \left[N^2 - g_{\phi\phi} (\zeta + N^{\phi})^2 \right]^{-1/2} = \frac{\gamma}{N}$$
 (A.6.5)

and

$$\zeta = -N^{\phi} + \frac{N}{\sqrt{g_{\phi\phi}}}\nu. \tag{A.6.6}$$

We limit our analysis to the motion on the symmetry plane (y = 0) of the solution (A.2.1)–(A.2.5). Note both y = 0 and $x = x_0$ are constants along any given circular orbit, and that the azimuthal coordinate along the orbit depends on the coordinate time *t* or proper time τ along that orbit according to

$$\phi - \phi_0 = \zeta t = \Omega_U \tau_U , \quad \Omega_U = \Gamma \zeta , \qquad (A.6.7)$$

defining the corresponding coordinate and proper time orbital angular velocities ζ and Ω_U . These determine the rotation of the spherical frame with respect to a nonrotating frame at infinity.

The spacetime Frenet-Serret frame along a single timelike test particle worldline with 4-velocity $U = E_0$ and parametrized by the proper time τ_U is described by the following system of evolution equations [24]

$$\frac{DE_0}{d\tau_U} = \kappa E_1, \qquad \frac{DE_1}{d\tau_U} = \kappa E_0 + \tau_1 E_2,
\frac{DE_2}{d\tau_U} = -\tau_1 E_1 + \tau_2 E_3, \qquad \frac{DE_3}{d\tau_U} = -\tau_2 E_2.$$
(A.6.8)

The absolute value of the curvature κ is the magnitude of the acceleration $a(U) \equiv DU/d\tau_U = \kappa E_1$, while the first and second torsions τ_1 and τ_2 are the

components of the Frenet-Serret angular velocity vector

$$\omega_{(\text{FS})} = \tau_1 E_3 + \tau_2 E_1$$
, $||\omega_{(\text{FS})}|| = [\tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2]^{1/2}$, (A.6.9)

with which the spatial Frenet-Serret frame $\{E_a\}$ rotates with respect to a Fermi-Walker transported frame along *U*. It is well known that any circular orbit on the symmetry plane of a reflection symmetric spacetime has zero second torsion τ_2 , while the geodesic curvature κ and the first torsion τ_1 are simply related by

$$\tau_1 = -\frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\kappa}{\mathrm{d}\nu} \,. \tag{A.6.10}$$

On the symmetry plane there exists a large variety of special circular orbits [25–28]; particular interest is devoted to the co-rotating (+) and counterrotating (-) timelike circular geodesics whose linear velocities is

$$\nu_{(\text{geo})\pm} \equiv \nu_{\pm} = \frac{fC \pm [f^2 \omega^2 - \sigma^2 (x^2 - 1)] \sqrt{D}}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1} \sigma \{ f_x [f^2 \omega^2 + \sigma^2 (x^2 - 1)] + 2f(f^2 \omega \omega_x - \sigma^2 x) \}},$$
(A.6.11)

where

$$C = -2\sigma^{2}(x^{2}-1)\omega f_{x} - f\{\omega_{x}[f^{2}\omega^{2} + \sigma^{2}(x^{2}-1)] - 2\sigma^{2}x\omega\},\$$

$$D = f^{4}\omega_{x}^{2} - \sigma^{2}f_{x}[f_{x}(x^{2}-1) - 2xf].$$
(A.6.12)

All quantities in the previous expressions are meant to be evaluated at y = 0. The corresponding timelike conditions $|v_{\pm}| < 1$ together with the reality condition $D \ge 0$ identify the allowed regions for the "radial" coordinate where co/counter-rotating geodesics exist.

Other special orbits correspond to the "geodesic meeting point observers" defined in [27], with

$$\nu_{(\text{gmp})} = \frac{\nu_+ + \nu_-}{2}$$
 (A.6.13)

A Frenet-Serret (FS) intrinsic frame along U [24] is given by

$$E_{0} \equiv U = \gamma [n + \nu e_{\hat{\phi}}], \quad E_{1} = e_{\hat{x}}, \quad E_{2} = e_{\hat{y}}, \quad E_{2} \equiv E_{\hat{\phi}} = \gamma [\nu n + e_{\hat{\phi}}].$$
(A.6.14)

It is also convenient to introduce the Lie relative curvature of each orbit [27]

$$k_{\text{(lie)}} = -\partial_{\hat{x}} \ln \sqrt{g_{\phi\phi}} \\ = \frac{e^{-\gamma} \sqrt{x^2 - 1}}{2\sigma x \sqrt{f}} \left\{ \frac{\sigma^2 [(x^2 - 1)f_x - 2xf] + f^2 \omega (2f\omega_x + \omega f_x)}{\sigma^2 (x^2 - 1) - f^2 \omega^2} \right\}.$$
(A.6.15)

It then results

$$\kappa = k_{(\text{lie})} \gamma^2 (\nu - \nu_+) (\nu - \nu_-) ,$$

$$\tau_1 = k_{(\text{lie})} \nu_{(\text{gmp})} \gamma^2 (\nu - \nu_{(\text{crit})+}) (\nu - \nu_{(\text{crit})-}) , \qquad (A.6.16)$$

where

$$\nu_{(\text{crit})\pm} = \frac{\gamma_{-}\nu_{-} \mp \gamma_{+}\nu_{+}}{\gamma_{-} \mp \gamma_{+}}, \quad \nu_{(\text{crit})+}\nu_{(\text{crit})-} = 1, \quad (A.6.17)$$

identify the so called "extremely accelerated observers" [27, 29]: $\nu_{(ext)} \equiv \nu_{(crit)-}$, which satisfies the timelike condition in the regions where timelike geodesics exist, while $\nu_{(crit)+}$ is always spacelike there.

The geodesic velocities (A.6.11) are plotted in Fig. A.8 both as functions of the quadrupole parameter q for fixed "radial" distance (see Fig. (a)) and as functions of x for different values of q (see Fig. (b)). In the first case (Fig. (a)) we have shown how the quadrupole moment affects the causality condition: there exist a finite range of values of q wherein timelike circular geodesics are allowed: $q_1 < q < q_3$ for co-rotating and $q_2 < q < q_3$ for counter-rotating circular geodesics. The critical values q_1 , q_2 and q_3 of the quadrupole parameter can be (numerically) determined from Eq. (A.6.11). The difference from the Kerr case is clear instead from Fig. (b): the behaviour of the velocities differs significantly at small distances from the source, whereas it is quite similar for large distances.

A similar discussion concerning the linear velocity $\nu_{(gmp)}$ of "geodesic meeting point observers" as well as $\nu_{(ext)}$ of "extremely accelerated observers" is done in Figs. A.9 and A.10 respectively.

The behaviours of both the acceleration κ and the first torsion τ_1 as functions of v are shown in Figs. A.11 and A.12 for different values of the quadrupole parameter and fixed x as well as for different values of the "radial" distance and fixed q. A number of interesting effects do occur. For instance, from Fig. A.11 (a) and (b) one recognizes certain counter-intuitive behaviours of the acceleration, in comparison with our Newtonian experience. These effects have their roots also in the Kerr solution and are well known and studied since the 90s [27]. For instance, for negative values of q (q = -500, -250) we see that by increasing the speed ν (for positive values over that corresponding to the local minimum) the acceleration also increases; hence, in order to maintain the orbit, the particle (a rocket, say) should accelerate outwards. This is counter-intuitive in the sense that for a circular orbit at a fixed radius increasing the speed corresponds to an increase of the centrifugal acceleration and therefore to maintain the orbit "classically" one would expect to supply an acceleration inward. All such effects have been analyzed in the past decades in the Kerr spacetime in function of the radius of the orbit, i.e. the distance from the black hole. The novelty here is represented by Fig. A.11 (a) where



Figure A.8.: The geodesic linear velocities $v_{(\text{geo})}^{\pm}$ are plotted in Fig. (a) as functions of the quadrupole parameter *q* for fixed distance parameter x = 4 from the source and a/M = 0.5. Co-rotating and counter-rotating circular geodesics exist for $q_1 < q < q_3$ and $q_2 < q < q_3$ respectively, with $q_1 \approx -105.59$, $q_2 \approx -36.29$ and $q_3 \approx 87.68$ for this choice of parameters. The behaviour of $v_{(\text{geo})}^{\pm}$ as functions of *x* is shown in Fig. (b) for different values of q = [-80, -30, -10, 0, 2, 4, 10, 50]. The thick curves correspond to the Kerr case (q = 0). Curves corresponding to great positive value of the quadrupole parameter in the allowed range exhibit both a local maximum ($v_{(\text{geo})}^+$) and a local mimimum ($v_{(\text{geo})}^-$). For decreasing values of *q* the local minimum first disappears; for *q* further decreasing also the local maximum disappears (the curves are thus ordered from left to right for increasing values of *q*). Curves corresponding to negative values of *q* never present extrema as in the case of Kerr spacetime (q = 0), the lightlike condition being reached at greater values of the "radial" distance for decreasing values of *q*.



Figure A.9.: The linear velocity $v_{(\text{gmp})}$ corresponding to the "geodesic meeting point observers" is plotted in Fig. (a) as a function of the quadrupole parameter q for fixed distance parameter x = 4 from the source and a/M = 0.5. The behaviour of $v_{(\text{gmp})}$ as a function of x is shown in Fig. (b) for different values of q = [-50, -10, 0, 2, 5, 10, 30, 80]. It exists only in those ranges of x where both $v_{(\text{geo})}^+$ and $v_{(\text{geo})}^-$ exist (solid black lines). These ranges are listed below: q = -50, $x \ge 4.23$; q = -10, $x \ge 3.36$; q = 0, $x \ge 2.92$; q = 2, $1.0012 \le x \le 1.13$ and $x \ge 2.79$; q = 5, $1.21 \le x \le 1.64$ and $x \ge 2.48$; q = 10, $x \ge 1.54$; q = 30, $x \ge 2.44$; q = 80, $x \ge 3.83$.



Figure A.10.: The linear velocity $v_{(ext)}$ corresponding to the "extremely accelerated observers" is plotted in Fig. (a) as a function of the quadrupole parameter q for fixed distance parameter x = 4 from the source and a/M = 0.5. The behaviour of $v_{(ext)}$ as a function of x is shown in Fig. (b) for different values of q = [-50, -10, 0, 5, 10, 30, 80]. The dashed curve corresponds to the case q = 0. It exists only in those ranges of x where both $v_{(geo)}^+$ and $v_{(geo)}^-$ exist (see Fig. A.9).

the various curves do not correspond to different orbital radii (i.e. different values of the coordinate x, as it is for the cases (b) and (c)), but to different values of the quadrupole parameter q at a fixed radial distance. Therefore, the conclusion is that a spacecraft orbiting around an extended body –according to general relativity and the QM solution– should expect counter-intuitive engine acceleration to remain on a given orbit. Outward or inward extra acceleration for an increase of the speed critically depend on the quadrupole moment (i.e. the physical structure) of the source, a fact that should be taken into account.

Fig. A.12 shows instead that at a fixed radius one can always find a value of the quadrupole parameter and a value of the speed at which the first torsion vanishes. Being the second torsion identically vanishing, in these conditions the Frenet-Serret frame becomes also a Fermi-Walker frame: in fact the Frenet-Serret angular velocity represent the rate of rotation of the Frenet-Serret frame with respect to a Fermi-Walker one. In [27] (see Sec. 6, Eq. (6.22)) the precession of a test gyroscope (Fermi-Walker dragged along a circular orbit) has been related to the first torsion of an observer-adapted Frenet-Serret frame. The same discussion repeated here together with a simple inspection of Fig. A.12 allows us to include the effects of the presence of the quadrupole parameter q.

A.7. Concluding remarks

In this work we investigated some properties of the QM spacetime which is a generalization of Kerr spacetime, including an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment. Our results show that a deviation from spherical symmetry, corresponding to a non-zero gravitoelectric quadrupole moment, completely changes the structure of spacetime. A similar behaviour has been found in the case of the Erez-Rosen spacetime [30]. A naked singularity appears that affects the ergosphere and introduces regions where closed timelike curves are allowed. Whereas in the Kerr spacetime the ergosphere corresponds to the boundary of a simply-connected region of spacetime, in the present case the ergosphere is distorted by the presence of the quadrupole and can even become transformed into multiply-connected regions. All these changes occur near the naked singularity.

The presence of a naked singularity leads to interesting consequences in the motion of test particles. For instance, repulsive effects can take place in a region very close to the naked singularity. In that region stable circular orbits can exist. The limiting case of static particle is also allowed, due to the balance of the gravitational attraction and the repulsive force exterted by the naked singularity.

We have studied the family of circular orbits on the symmetry plane of the QM solution, analyzing all their relevant intrinsic properties, namely Frenet-



Figure A.11.: The acceleration κ for circular orbits at y = 0 is plotted in Fig. (a) as a function of ν for a/M = 0.5, x = 4 and different values of the quadrupole parameter: q = [-500, -250, -100, 0, 80, 250, 500]. The curves are ordered from top to bottom for increasing values of q. The values of ν associated with $\kappa = 0$ correspond to geodesics, i.e. $\nu_{\text{(geo)}}^{\pm}$. The behaviour of κ as a function of ν for different x is shown in Figs. (b) and (c) for fixed values of the quadrupole parameter: (b) q = 1, x = [1.1, 1.25, 1.5, 2.5, 4] and (c) q = 100, x = [2.5, 3, 4, 10].



Figure A.12.: The first torsion τ_1 for circular orbits at y = 0 is plotted as a function of ν for a/M = 0.5, x = 4 and different values of the quadrupole parameter: (a) q = [0, 100, 250, 500, 750], (b) q = [-1000, -750, -500, -250, -150] and (c) q = [-150, -80, 0]. The curves are ordered from left to right for increasing/decreasing values of q in Fig. (a)/Fig. (b) respectively. Figure (c) shows the changes of behavior occurring in the different regions $q < q_1$, $q_1 < q < q_2$ and $q > q_2$ (see Fig. A.8).

Serret curvature and torsions. We have also selected certain special circular orbits, like the "geodesic meeting points" orbits (i.e. orbits which contain the meeting points of two oppositely rotating circular geodesics) and the "extremely accelerated" orbits (i.e. orbits with respect to which the relative velocities of two oppositely rotating circular geodesics are opposite), whose kinematical characterization was given in the 90s [27] with special attention to Kerr spacetime. Here we have enriched their properties specifying the dependence on the quadrupole parameter.

The question about the stability of the QM solution is important for astrophysical purposes. In this context, we have obtained some preliminary results by using the variational formulation of the perturbation problem as developed explicitly by Chandrasekhar [31] for stationary axisymmetric solutions. A numerical analysis performed for fixed values of the parameters entering the QM metric shows that it is unstable against perturbations that preserve axial symmetry. One can indeed expect that, once an instability sets in, the final state of gravitational collapse will be described by the Kerr spacetime, the multipole moments of the initial configuration decaying during the black hole formation. Nevertheless, a more detailed analysis is needed in order to completely establish the stability properties of this solution.

Finally, we mention the fact that it is possible to generalize the metric investigated in this work to include the case of a non spherically symmetric mass distribution endowed with an electromagnetic field [32]. The resulting exact solution of Einstein-Maxwell equations turns out to be asymptotically flat, contains the Kerr-Newman black hole spacetime as a special case, and is characterized by two infinite sets of gravitational and electromagnetic multipole moments. For a particular choice of the parameters, the solution is characterized by the presence of a naked singularity. It would be interesting to explore repulsive effects generated by the electromagnetic field of the naked singularity also in this case. This task will be treated in a future work.

A.8. Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Prof. B. Mashhoon and Prof. R. Ruffini for useful discussions. All thank IcraNet for support.

A. Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions

A.9. Appendix A: General form of QM solution with arbitrary Zipoy-Voorhees parameter

The general form of QM solution with arbitrary Zipoy-Voorhees parameter δ is given by Eq. (A.2.1) with functions

$$f = \frac{R}{L}e^{-2q\delta P_2 Q_2},$$

$$\omega = -2a - 2\sigma \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{R}e^{2q\delta P_2 Q_2},$$

$$e^{2\gamma} = \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{M}{\sigma}\right)^2 \frac{R}{(x^2 - 1)^{\delta}}e^{2\delta^2 \hat{\gamma}},$$
(A.9.1)

where $\hat{\gamma}$ is the same as in Eq. (A.2.3), while

$$R = a_{+}a_{-} + b_{+}b_{-}, \qquad L = a_{+}^{2} + b_{+}^{2},$$

$$\mathfrak{M} = (x+1)^{\delta-1}[x(1-y^{2})(\lambda+\mu)a_{+} + y(x^{2}-1)(1-\lambda\mu)b_{+}].$$
(A.9.2)

The functions a_{\pm} and b_{\pm} are now given by

$$a_{\pm} = (x \pm 1)^{\delta - 1} [x(1 - \lambda \mu) \pm (1 + \lambda \mu)],$$

$$b_{\pm} = (x \pm 1)^{\delta - 1} [x(\lambda + \mu) \mp (\lambda - \mu)],$$
(A.9.3)

with

$$\lambda = \alpha (x^2 - 1)^{1 - \delta} (x + y)^{2\delta - 2} e^{2q\delta \delta_+},$$

$$\mu = \alpha (x^2 - 1)^{1 - \delta} (x - y)^{2\delta - 2} e^{2q\delta \delta_-}.$$
(A.9.4)

The functions δ_{\pm} and the constants α and σ are instead the same as in Eqs. (A.2.4) and (A.2.5) respectively.

This solution reduces to the solution (A.2.1)–(A.2.5) for $\delta = 1$.

A.10. Appendix B. Newman-Penrose quantities

Let us adopt here the metric signature (+, -, -, -) in order to use the Newman-Penrose formalism in its original form and then easily get the necessary phys-

ical quantities [31]. The Weyl-Lewis-Papapetrou metric is thus given by

$$ds^{2} = f(dt - \omega d\phi)^{2} - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{f} \left\{ e^{2\gamma} \left(x^{2} - y^{2} \right) \left(\frac{dx^{2}}{x^{2} - 1} + \frac{dy^{2}}{1 - y^{2}} \right) + (x^{2} - 1)(1 - y^{2})d\phi^{2} \right\}$$
(A.10.1)

Introduce the following tetrad

$$l = \sqrt{\frac{f}{2}} \left[dt - \left(\omega + \frac{\sigma XY}{f} \right) d\phi \right],$$

$$n = \sqrt{\frac{f}{2}} \left[dt - \left(\omega - \frac{\sigma XY}{f} \right) d\phi \right],$$

$$m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{f}} e^{\gamma} \sqrt{X^{2} + Y^{2}} \left[\frac{dx}{X} + i \frac{dy}{Y} \right],$$
(A.10.2)

where

$$X = \sqrt{x^2 - 1}$$
, $Y = \sqrt{1 - y^2}$. (A.10.3)

The nonvanishing spin coefficients are

$$\begin{split} \kappa &= -\mathcal{A}\left[-\frac{XY}{f}(Xf_x+iYf_y)+\frac{f}{\sigma}(X\omega_x+iY\omega_y)+xY-iyX\right],\\ \tau &= -\pi^* = \mathcal{A}(xY-iyX),\\ \nu &= -\mathcal{A}\left[\frac{XY}{f}(Xf_x-iYf_y)+\frac{f}{\sigma}(X\omega_x-iY\omega_y)-xY-iyX\right],\\ \alpha &= \mathcal{A}XY\sigma^4\left[-\frac{1}{2f}(Xf_x-iYf_y)+X\gamma_x-iY\gamma_y-\frac{f}{2\sigma}\left(\frac{\omega_x}{Y}-i\frac{\omega_y}{X}\right)\right.\\ &\quad \left.+\frac{xX+iyY}{X^2+Y^2}\right],\\ \beta &= \mathcal{A}XY\sigma^4\left[\frac{1}{2f}(Xf_x+iYf_y)-X\gamma_x-iY\gamma_y-\frac{f}{2\sigma}\left(\frac{\omega_x}{Y}+i\frac{\omega_y}{X}\right)\right.\\ &\quad \left.-\frac{xX-iyY}{X^2+Y^2}\right], \end{split}$$
(A.10.4)

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}\frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\sigma XY}\sqrt{\frac{f}{X^2 + Y^2}} \,. \tag{A.10.5}$$

The nonvanishing Weyl scalars are

$$\begin{split} \psi_{0} &= \mathcal{A}XY \left[\tau (Xf_{x} + iYf_{y}) + 2f (X\kappa_{x} + iY\kappa_{y}) - \frac{f^{2}}{\sigma XY} (\kappa + \tau) (X\omega_{x} + iY\omega_{y}) \right] \\ &+ 2\kappa\beta + \tau (\kappa - \tau) , \\ \psi_{2} &= \frac{1}{6} \frac{\mathcal{A}^{2}X^{2}Y^{2}}{f} \left[x \left(f_{x} + 2f\gamma_{x} - 3i\frac{f^{2}}{\sigma X^{2}}\omega_{y} \right) - y \left(f_{y} + 2f\gamma_{y} + 3i\frac{f^{2}}{\sigma Y^{2}}\omega_{x} \right) \right. \\ &+ 3i\frac{f}{\sigma} (f_{x}\omega_{y} - f_{y}\omega_{x}) - X^{2} (f_{xx} - 2f\gamma_{xx}) - Y^{2} (f_{yy} - 2f\gamma_{yy}) \\ &+ \frac{f^{3}}{\sigma^{2}} \left(\frac{\omega_{x}^{2}}{Y^{2}} + \frac{\omega_{y}^{2}}{X^{2}} \right) \right] , \\ \psi_{4} &= \mathcal{A}XY \left[-\pi (Xf_{x} - iYf_{y}) - 2f (X\nu_{x} - iY\nu_{y}) - \frac{f^{2}}{\sigma XY} (\nu + \pi) (X\omega_{x} - iY\omega_{y}) \right] \\ &+ 2\nu\alpha + \pi (\nu - \pi) . \end{split}$$
(A.10.6)

Finally the two scalar invariants of the Weyl tensor whose ratio defines the speciality index have the following expressions in terms of the Newman-Penrose curvature quantities

$$I = \psi_0 \psi_4 + 3\psi_2^2 , \qquad J = \psi_0 \psi_2 \psi_4 - \psi_2^3 , \qquad S = 27 \frac{J^2}{I^3} .$$
 (A.10.7)

S has the value 1 for algebraically special spacetimes.

A.11. References

- 1. Quevedo H 1989 Phys. Rev. D 39 2904
- 2. Quevedo H and Mashhoon B 1991 Phys. Rev. D 43 3902
- 3. Weyl H 1917 Ann. Physik (Leipzig) 54 117
- 4. Lewis T 1932 Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 136 179
- 5. Papapetrou A 1966 Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré A 4 83
- 6. Quevedo H and Mashhoon B 1985 Phys. Lett. A 109 13
- 7. Stephani H, Kramer D, MacCallum M A H, Hoenselaers C and Herlt E 2003 *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations* 2nd edn (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- 8. Geroch R 1970 J. Math. Phys. 11 2580
- 9. Hansen R O 1974 J. Math. Phys. 15 46
A. Generalized Kerr spacetime with an arbitrary mass quadrupole moment: geometric properties versus particle motions

- 10. Erez G and Rosen N 1959 Bull. Res. Council Israel 8F 47
- Doroshkevich A, Zel'dovich Ya B and Novikov I D 1965 Sov. Phys. JETP 22 122
- 12. Young J H and Coulter C A 1969 Phys. Rev. 184 1313
- 13. Lense J and Thirring H 1918 Z. Phys. 19 156
- 14. Mashhoon B, Hehl F W and Theiss D S 1984 Gen. Rel. Grav. 16 711
- 15. Hartle J B and Thorne K S 1967 Astr. J. 150 1005; 1968 Astr. J. 153 807
- 16. Zipoy D M 1966 J. Math. Phys. 7 1137
- 17. Voorhees B 1970 Phys. Rev. D 2 2119
- 18. Mashhoon B and Theiss D S 1991 Il Nuovo Cimento B 106 545
- 19. Taylor J P W 2005 Class. Quant. Grav. 22 4961
- 20. Cosgrove C M 1980 J. Math. Phys. 21 2417
- 21. Carter B 1973 Black hole equilibrium states *Black Holes: Les Houches* 1972 ed C de Witt and B S de Witt (New York: Gordon and Breach) p 107
- 22. Herrera L 2005 Found. Phys. Lett. 18 21
- 23. Quevedo H 1990 Forts. Physik 38 733
- 24. Iyer B R and Vishveshwara C V 1993 Phys. Rev. D 48 5721
- 25. Bini D, de Felice F and Jantzen R T 1999 Class. Quantum Grav. 16 2105
- 26. Bini D, Carini P and Jantzen R T 1997 Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 6 1
- 27. Bini D, Carini P and Jantzen R T 1997 Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 6 143
- 28. Bini D, Jantzen R T and Mashhoon B 2001 Class. Quant. Grav. 18 653
- 29. de Felice F 1994 Class. Quant. Grav. 11 1283
- 30. Mashhoon B and Quevedo H 1995 Il Nuovo Cimento B 110 287
- 31. Chandrasekhar S 1983 *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- 32. Quevedo H and Mashhoon B 1990 Phys. Lett. A 148 149